

See discussions, stats, and author profiles for this publication at: <https://www.researchgate.net/publication/382651870>

Chapter 11: Conservation breeding. In – Amphibian conservation action plan: A status review and roadmap for global amphibian conservation IUCN SSC Amphibian Specialist Group

Chapter · July 2024

CITATIONS

0

READS

224

12 authors, including:



[Benjamin Tapley](#)

ZSL

179 PUBLICATIONS 1,492 CITATIONS

[SEE PROFILE](#)



[Kevin Johnson](#)

Amphibian Ark

14 PUBLICATIONS 263 CITATIONS

[SEE PROFILE](#)



[Christopher J. Michaels](#)

104 PUBLICATIONS 958 CITATIONS

[SEE PROFILE](#)



[Kay Bradfield](#)

Perth Zoo

21 PUBLICATIONS 481 CITATIONS

[SEE PROFILE](#)



Amphibian conservation action plan


A status review and roadmap for global amphibian conservation

IUCN SSC Amphibian Specialist Group

Edited by: Sally Wren, Amaël Borzée, Ruth Marcec-Greaves and Ariadne Angulo



OCCASIONAL PAPER OF THE IUCN SPECIES SURVIVAL COMMISSION N° 57



About IUCN

IUCN is a membership Union uniquely composed of both government and civil society organisations. It provides public, private and non-governmental organisations with the knowledge and tools that enable human progress, economic development and nature conservation to take place together.

Created in 1948, IUCN is now the world's largest and most diverse environmental network, harnessing the knowledge, resources and reach of more than 1,400 Member organisations and some 16,000 experts. It is a leading provider of conservation data, assessments and analysis. Its broad membership enables IUCN to fill the role of incubator and trusted repository of best practices, tools and international standards.

IUCN provides a neutral space in which diverse stakeholders including governments, NGOs, scientists, businesses, local communities, indigenous peoples organisations and others can work together to forge and implement solutions to environmental challenges and achieve sustainable development.

www.iucn.org

IUCN SSC Amphibian Specialist Group

The Amphibian Specialist Group (ASG) is part of the Species Survival Commission (SSC) of the International Union for Conservation of Nature (IUCN).

It is a volunteer network of over 300 of the world's leading amphibian experts providing the scientific foundation to inform effective amphibian conservation action around the world.

Under the umbrella of the ASG, the Amphibian Red List Authority is the body responsible for overseeing the assessments of all amphibians on The IUCN Red List of Threatened Species.

www.iucn-amphibians.org

© Omar Hernández Ordóñez

Amphibian conservation action plan

A status review and roadmap for global amphibian conservation

Amphibian conservation action plan

A status review and roadmap for global amphibian conservation

IUCN SSC Amphibian Specialist Group

Edited by: Sally Wren, Amaël Borzée, Ruth Marcec-Greaves and Ariadne Angulo

The designation of geographical entities in this work, and the presentation of the material, do not imply the expression of any opinion whatsoever on the part of IUCN concerning the legal status of any country, territory, or area, or of its authorities, or concerning the delimitation of its frontiers or boundaries.

The views expressed in this work do not necessarily reflect those of IUCN.

IUCN is pleased to acknowledge the support of its Framework Partners who provide core funding: Ministry of Foreign Affairs, Denmark; Ministry for Foreign Affairs, Finland; Government of France and the French Development Agency (AFD); Ministry of Environment, Republic of Korea; Ministry of the Environment, Climate and Sustainable Development, Grand Duchy of Luxembourg; the Norwegian Agency for Development Cooperation (Norad); the Swedish International Development Cooperation Agency (Sida); the Swiss Agency for Development and Cooperation (SDC) and the United States Department of State

This publication has been made possible in part by funding and in-kind support from the Detroit Zoological Society, the Amphibian Survival Alliance, the IUCN Species Survival Commission (SSC) Internal Grant Program supported by the Environment Agency-Abu Dhabi, Nanjing Forestry University and Re:wild.

Published by: IUCN, Gland, Switzerland

Produced by: IUCN SSC Amphibian Specialist Group

Copyright: © 2024 IUCN, International Union for Conservation of Nature and Natural Resources

Reproduction of this publication for educational or other non-commercial purposes is authorised without prior written permission from the copyright holder provided the source is fully acknowledged.

Reproduction of this publication for resale or other commercial purposes is prohibited without prior written permission of the copyright holder.

Recommended citation: IUCN SSC Amphibian Specialist Group (2024). *Amphibian conservation action plan: A status review and roadmap for global amphibian conservation*. Wren, S., Borzée, A., Marcec-Greaves, R. & Angulo, A. (Eds.). IUCN SSC Occasional Paper, No 57. Gland, Switzerland: IUCN.

ISBN: 978-2-8317-2279-5 (PDF)

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.2305/QWVH2717>

Front cover photo: Photograph taken by Kenneth Chin Yu An. *Onychodactylus sillanus*, the Yangsan clawed salamander in situ in Yangsan, Republic of Korea. The species is threatened by habitat loss and climate change; its taxonomic description in 2022 helped citizen science groups and non-governmental organisations to link up with the local government to discuss the development of conservation programs, and a potential update to national environmental regulations.

Back cover photos: Clockwise from top left: *Ecnomiohyla miliaria*, © Ed Basham; engaging students through art in Borneo and Phil Bishop sampling water, both © Debbie Bishop; *Ichthyophis sp.*, © Ben Tapley; amphibian-focused education programme in Chile © Andrés Valenzuela; *Pseudotriton ruber* and swabbing *Atelopus zeteki* for Bd, both © Brian Gratwicke; and processing samples in Peru, © Jessica Deichmann/Smithsonian National Zoo and Conservation Biology Institute.

Layout by: Soledad Delgado Oyarzún

Contents

Executive summary.....	v
Resumen ejecutivo.....	vii
Résumé analytique.....	ix
Acknowledgements.....	xi
Acronyms and abbreviations.....	xiii
Introductory chapters.....	14
Chapter 1. Overview of amphibians and their conservation.....	15
Chapter 2. Common themes and challenges.....	32
Threats.....	51
Chapter 3. Climate change.....	52
Chapter 4. Ecotoxicology: amphibian vulnerability to chemical contamination.....	88
Chapter 5. Habitat loss: protection and management.....	115
Chapter 6. Infectious diseases: Advances and challenges in addressing emerging pathogens.....	148
Chapter 7. Trade and sustainable use.....	177
Informing decision-making.....	198
Chapter 8. Communications and education for amphibian conservation.....	199
Chapter 9. Strategic planning: a basis for effective action.....	218
Chapter 10. Surveys and monitoring: challenges in an age of rapid declines and discoveries.....	238
Species management.....	265
Chapter 11. Conservation breeding.....	266
Chapter 12. Amphibian assisted reproductive technologies and biobanking.....	285
Chapter 13. Genomics: using genomics approaches in amphibian conservation.....	309
Chapter 14. Translocations: challenges and recommendations.....	336

Dedication

*For Professor Phil Bishop,
Our mentor, colleague, and friend.
But above all, our inspiration for this
work and our daily endeavours.
Thank you for teaching us to be better
scientists and better people.*

ACAP Editorial Team

Executive summary

As the most threatened vertebrate class on earth, amphibians are at the forefront of the biodiversity crisis, with the recognition of global amphibian declines and extinctions dating back several decades now. The Amphibian Conservation Action Plan (ACAP), the first taxonomic class-level plan of its kind, was first published in 2007 and then updated as a digital resource in 2015, with the goal of acting as a unified global strategy to save these vertebrates. However, although there have been resources allocated to amphibian conservation since the first (2007) ACAP, these have not been nearly sufficient to adequately address the global amphibian crisis.

The current ACAP is adopting two strategies, both novel and alternative to the first ACAP, to address the goal of the amelioration of the amphibian crisis: the development of two complementary documents that work to **1)** synthesise developments in major themes of amphibian conservation over the last 15 years, as in this document, and **2)** summarise the key findings and recommendations to a broader audience in a user-friendly way (a practitioner document that will follow this synthesis document). The purpose is thus to provide the most up-to-date evidence on threats and approaches to amphibian conservation, and from there identify gaps and priorities that can then be disseminated and adopted by stakeholders across the globe.

Each chapter of this status review was developed by the matching Amphibian Specialist Group's (ASG) thematic working groups. Led by 1-3 working group chairs and supported by working group members, chapters have also had the input of professionals outside of ASG with expertise in given themes.

This document consists of two introductory chapters and twelve thematic chapters divided into three sections:

- ➞ **Threats** - Chapters 3-7 on climate change; ecotoxicology; habitat loss; infectious diseases; and trade and sustainable use
- ➞ **Informing decision-making** - Chapters 8-10 on communications and education; conservation planning; and surveys and monitoring
- ➞ **Species management** - Chapters 11-14 on conservation breeding; assisted reproductive technologies and biobanking; genomics; and translocations

In broad terms, each chapter covers the most important knowledge, technological and conceptual developments in a particular theme over the last decade and a half, highlighting knowledge gaps, challenges, needs and opportunities for future conservation action.

Key messages

- 1.** While this document is global in scope it is informed by local and regional realities. Not everything that is in this document will be transferable to every region. However, those aspects that are relevant to a region can be addressed accordingly, and these results can then feedback again into a global strategy, and be readapted in other regions to benefit from the shared experience. Translation from local to global and back to local is crucial to ensure that regional experiences feed into a global framework and that this framework accurately reflects shared patterns and realities so that it can inform international conventions and organisations, especially in view of global environmental change.

2. As a whole there is an enormous deficit in information for most amphibian species, which hampers decision-making and evidence-based, conservation action. Yet, it is urgent that conservation action takes place with the data that are available. More targeted research, undertaken concomitantly with conservation action, is required. Increased collaborations both within and outside the amphibian conservation community are urgently needed to begin to bridge some of the information gaps. Integrating different approaches can help augment information and leverage additional support to amphibian conservation.
3. Relative to the scope of amphibian declines and extinctions, adequate financial and human resources and necessary policy measures have largely lagged behind this decades-long crisis. Should this pattern persist, we can expect to continue losing amphibian populations and species in increasingly large numbers. It is therefore critical that amphibian conservation becomes both an integral and a conspicuous part of the biodiversity conservation agenda of international and national conservation organisations of all sizes, of national and subnational levels of government, of the various institutions that focus on biodiversity education and research, of funding entities, and of organised communities and media.

Resumen ejecutivo

Comprendiendo la clase de vertebrados más amenazada del mundo, los anfibios están en la primera línea de la crisis de biodiversidad, con el reconocimiento de las declinaciones y extinciones de los anfibios remontándose ya varias décadas. El Plan de Acción de Conservación de los Anfibios (ACAP por sus siglas en inglés), el primer plan a nivel de clase taxonómica, fue publicado inicialmente en el 2007 y luego actualizado como un recurso digital en el 2015, con la meta de actuar como una estrategia global unificada para salvar estos vertebrados. Sin embargo, aunque ha habido recursos dirigidos a la conservación de anfibios desde el primer plan, estos no han sido suficientes para abordar la crisis global de los anfibios de forma adecuada.

Este ACAP está adoptando estrategias novedosas y alternativas para aliviar la crisis de los anfibios: el desarrollo de dos documentos complementarios que de manera conjunta **1)** sintetizan los acontecimientos y progreso en temas importantes para la conservación de los anfibios en los últimos 15 años, caso de este documento, y **2)** resumen los principales mensajes y recomendaciones dirigidos a una audiencia amplia de una manera accesible (un documento para implementadores que seguirá este documento de síntesis). El propósito es, por ende, ofrecer la evidencia más actualizada acerca de las amenazas y abordajes en lo que refiere a la conservación de los anfibios, y a partir de ello identificar vacíos y prioridades que pueden luego ser diseminados y adoptados por actores relevantes a lo largo del planeta.

Cada capítulo de este documento fue desarrollado por el respectivo grupo temático del Grupo de Especialistas de Anfibios (ASG por sus siglas en inglés). Liderados por 1-3 presidentes de grupos temáticos y apoyados por miembros de los grupos de trabajo, los capítulos también han recibido el aporte de profesionales fuera del ASG con experiencia en determinados temas.

Este documento comprende dos capítulos introductorios y doce capítulos temáticos divididos en tres secciones:

- ➞ **Amenazas** - Capítulos 3-7 sobre cambio climático; ecotoxicología; pérdida de hábitat; enfermedades infecciosas; y comercio y uso sostenible
- ➞ **Informando la toma de decisiones** - Capítulos 8-10 sobre comunicaciones y educación; planificación de conservación; y muestreos y monitoreo
- ➞ **Manejo de especies** - Capítulos 11-14 sobre reproducción de conservación; tecnologías de reproducción asistida y biobancos; genómica; y translocaciones

En términos generales cada capítulo cubre el conocimiento y desarrollo tecnológico y conceptual más importantes de la última década y media, resaltando los vacíos de conocimiento, retos, necesidades y oportunidades para futuras acciones de conservación.

Mensajes principales

1. Aunque este documento es de alcance global está informado por realidades locales y regionales. No todo lo que está en él será transferible a cada región. No obstante, esos aspectos que sí son relevantes a cada región pueden ser abordados como corresponde, y esos resultados pueden luego retroalimentar

una estrategia global y ser readaptados en otras regiones para lograr un beneficio a partir de la experiencia compartida. La traducción de lo local a lo global y vice-versa es crucial para asegurar que las experiencias regionales puedan alimentar un marco global y que este marco refleje los patrones y realidades compartidos, de manera que pueda informar convenciones y organizaciones internacionales, especialmente dado el cambio ambiental global.

2. Tomado en conjunto, existe un enorme déficit de información para la mayoría de especies de anfibios, lo que dificulta el proceso de toma de decisiones y acciones de conservación basadas en evidencia. No obstante, es urgente adelantar acciones de conservación con la información disponible. Se requiere de investigaciones más enfocadas, adelantadas de manera conjunta con acciones de conservación. Es necesario incrementar las colaboraciones tanto dentro como fuera de la comunidad de conservación de anfibios, para así comenzar a zanzar algunos de los vacíos de información. La integración de abordajes distintos puede ayudar a aumentar la información y catalizar apoyo adicional hacia la conservación de anfibios.
3. En relación a la magnitud de las declinaciones y extinciones de anfibios, los recursos financieros y humanos y las medidas políticas necesarias vienen muy rezagados ante esta crisis de décadas de duración. Si este patrón persiste, podemos esperar la continua pérdida de poblaciones de anfibios y especies en números cada vez mayores. Es por ende crítico que la conservación de anfibios se vuelva un componente tanto integral como conspicuo de la agenda de las organizaciones de conservación internacionales y nacionales de todos los tamaños, de los distintos niveles de gobierno, de las varias instituciones que se enfocan en la investigación y educación de la biodiversidad, de entidades financieras, de comunidades organizadas, y de los medios de comunicación.

Résumé analytique

Étant la classe de vertébrés la plus menacée au monde, les amphibiens sont au premier plan de la crise de la biodiversité, et le déclin et les extinctions des amphibiens à l'échelle mondiale sont maintenant compris depuis plusieurs décennies. Le Plan d'Action pour la Conservation des Amphibiens (ACAP en Anglais) est le premier plan d'action pour la conservation des espèces au niveau du rang taxonomique des classes. Il a été publié pour la première fois en 2007, puis mis à jour en tant que ressource numérique en 2015 avec l'objectif de servir à la création d'une stratégie mondiale unifiée pour sauver ces vertébrés. Cependant, bien que des ressources aient été allouées à la conservation des amphibiens depuis le premier ACAP (2007), elles n'ont pas été d'une fraction de l'ordre de grandeur nécessaire pour faire face de manière adéquate à la crise mondiale de la disparition des amphibiens.

La version actuelle de l'ACAP adopte deux stratégies, toutes deux nouvelles et différentes du premier ACAP, pour remédier à la crise des amphibiens: la préparation de deux documents complémentaires qui cherchent à **1)** synthétiser les développements dans les principaux thèmes de la conservation des amphibiens au cours des 15 dernières années (une synthèse des développements académiques - ce document), et **2)** résumer les découvertes principales et les recommandations pour le grand public d'une manière accessible (un document de mise en œuvre qui suivra la synthèse des développements). L'objectif est donc de fournir les preuves les plus récentes des menaces et les approches de la conservation des amphibiens, et à partir de là, d'identifier les lacunes et les priorités qui peuvent ensuite être diffusées et adoptées par les acteurs de la conservation à travers le monde.

Chaque chapitre de cette synthèse des développements en conservation a été élaboré par le groupe de travail thématiques travaillant sur le sujet et faisant partie du Groupe des Spécialistes des Amphibiens (ASG en Anglais). Chaque chapitre a été dirigé par 1 à 3 chefs de groupes de travail, en concert avec les membres du groupe. Les chapitres ont également bénéficié de la contribution de professionnels extérieurs à l'ASG et ayant une expertise correspondante.

Ce document se compose de deux chapitres introductifs et de douze chapitres thématiques divisés en trois sections :

- ➞ **Menaces** - Chapitres 3 à 7 sur le changement climatique ; l'écotoxicologie ; la destruction de l'habitat ; les maladies infectieuses ; le commerce et l'utilisation durable
- ➞ **Prise de décisions informées** - Chapitres 8 à 10 sur les communications et l'éducation ; la planification de la conservation ; les enquêtes et le suivi
- ➞ **Gestion des espèces** - Chapitres 11 à 14 sur l'élevage en captivité ; les technologies de procréation assistée et biobanques ; la génomique ; les translocations

En termes généraux, chaque chapitre couvre les connaissances et les développements technologiques et conceptuels les plus importants pour chaque thème développé au cours des quinze dernières années, en mettant en évidence les lacunes, les défis, les besoins et les opportunités pour les futures actions de conservation.

Messages principaux

1. Bien que ce document ait une portée mondiale, il est dirigé par les réalités locales et régionales. Tous les points exposés dans ce document ne seront pas nécessairement transférables à toutes les régions. Cependant, les aspects qui sont pertinents pour une région peuvent être traités en conséquence, et ces résultats peuvent ensuite être réintégrés à la stratégie mondiale, et ensuite être réadaptés dans d'autres régions qui pourront bénéficier de ces expériences. La transformation du point de vue local au point de vue mondial et le retour au point de vue local, est cruciale pour garantir que les expériences régionales alimentent un cadre mondial et que ce cadre reflète correctement les modèles et réalités locales afin d'informer les conventions et organisations internationales, spécialement compte tenu des changements environnementaux mondiaux.
2. Dans l'ensemble, il y a un extraordinaire manque d'informations pour la plupart des espèces d'amphibiens, ce qui entrave la prise de décisions et les actions de conservation basées sur des faits scientifiques. Cependant, il est urgent que les actions de conservation prennent place en tenant en compte des données déjà disponible. Des recherches plus ciblées, entreprises parallèlement aux actions de conservation, sont aussi nécessaires. De nouvelles collaborations au sein de la communauté de conservation des amphibiens, mais aussi avec des personnes externes, sont nécessaires de toute urgence pour commencer à combler ces lacunes en matière d'information. L'intégration de différentes approches peut permettre d'acquérir les informations nécessaires et de tirer parti d'un soutien supplémentaire pour la conservation des amphibiens.
3. En comparaison avec l'ampleur du déclin et l'extinction des amphibiens, les ressources financières et humaines et les mesures politiques nécessaires sont en retard par rapport à cette crise qui dure depuis des douzaines d'années. Si ce schéma persiste, nous pouvons nous attendre à continuer de perdre de plus en plus de populations et d'espèces d'amphibiens. Il est donc essentiel que la conservation des amphibiens devienne à la fois une partie intégrante et visible du programme de conservation de la biodiversité des organisations de conservation internationales et nationales de toutes tailles, mais aussi au niveau des gouvernements nationaux et régionaux, des institutions diverses qui se concentrent sur l'éducation et la recherche sur la biodiversité, des organismes de financement, des associations et des médias.

Acknowledgements

We are grateful to Detroit Zoological Society, the Amphibian Survival Alliance, funding from the IUCN Species Survival Commission (SSC) Internal Grant Program, supported by the Environment Agency-Abu Dhabi, Nanjing Forestry University, Re:wild, Avery Dart, Daniel Kiss, James Thorne, Charlie Tyson-Taylor and the anonymous donors, without whose financial and in-kind support this important update of the Amphibian Conservation Action Plan would not have been possible.

Development of this document would not have been possible without the following people, who generously gave their time and expertise during the conception and drafting of chapters:

Aarón Josué Quiroz Rodríguez, Amir Hamidy, Amy Reaume, Andrés Merino-Viteri, Andrés Rymel Acosta Galvis, Andrew Cunningham, Andrew Snyder, Ángela M. Suárez Mayorga, Angelica Crottini, Anne Alexandre, Arvin C. Diesmos, Benedikt Schmidt, Bill Peterman, Blake Klocke, Brett Scheffers, Bryan Stuart, Claudio Azat, Cori Richards-Zawacki, Daniel Rodríguez, David B Wake, David Blackburn, Diego A. Gómez-Hoyos, Dion Kelly, Edgar Lehr, Felipe Toledo, Florina Stanescu, Francis Lemckert, Francois Becker, Gailmarie Kimmel, Gonçalo M. Rosa, Ian Little, Imadeddin Albaba, Iñigo Martinez-Solano, Ivelina Frantsova-Bauer, James Collins, James Lewis, Jean-Marc Hero, Jennifer Buchanan, Jenny Urbina, Johanna Rode-Margono, John Wilkinson, Joseph Doumbia, Karen Lips, Kaya Klop-Toker, Leida Dos Santos, Lily Rodriguez, Luis Alberto Rueda Solano, Luis Castillo Roque, Luisa Arnedo, Lydia Johnson, Maria Forzan, Mark Wilkinson, Mark-Oliver Rödel, Maureen Donnelly, Mauricio Akmentins, Michelle Thompson, N’Guessan Emmanuel Asseman, Nicolas Heard, Nida Al-Fulaij, Nikki Roach, Nina Marshall, Ninad Amol Gosavi, Paul Crump, Paul Doughty, PK Malonza, Thomas Ziegler, Rachel Rommel, Rayna Bell, Reid Harris, Rob Stuebing, Robert Puschendorf, Robert Ward, Roberta Lecis, Ross Alford, S.C. Bordoloi, Segniagbeto H. Gabriel, Sherilyn Bos, Simon Mickleburgh, Stefano Doglio, Steven Allain, Tahar Slimani, Trent Garner, Valia Esther Herrera Alva, Vanessa K. Verdade, Vicky Flechas, Víctor Jassmani Vargas García and Vincenzo Ferri.

Further, we thank the following people who contributed to the development of chapters beyond those for which they are authors:

Andrés Valenzuela-Sánchez, Antonio W. Salas, Ariel Rodríguez, Benjamin Tapley, Cynthia Paszkowski, Dan Cogalniceanu, David Bickford, Dede Olson, Federico Kacoliris, Franco Andreone, James Watling, Javiera Cisternas, Jeanne Tarrant, Jeff Dawson, Jess Hua, John Measey, Karthikeyan Vasudevan, Kevin Johnson, Luke Linhoff, Mark C. Urban, Michael Lau, Michelle D. Boone, Natalie Calatayud, Nicolas Urbina-Cardona, Richard Griffiths, Ted R. Kahn and Tiffany Kosch.

We are thankful to Franco Andreone, Luisa Arnedo, Mark Auliya, Debbie Bishop, Robert Browne, Luis Castillo, Jelka Crnobrnja-Isailović, Andrew Cunningham, Roberto Elias, Pria Ghosh, Andrew Gluesenkamp, Richard Griffiths, Rikki Gumbs, Craig Hassapakis, Mike Hoffmann, Sixto Inchaustegui, Ted R. Kahn, Blake Klocke, N’Goran Germain Kouamé, Enrique La Marca, Penny Langhammer, Stefan Lötters, Josh Noseworthy, Gonçalo Rosa, Benedikt Schmidt, Ben Tapley, Carrie Vance, Karthikeyan Vasudevan, Vanessa Verdade, Grahame Webb, the IUCN SSC CEESP Biodiversity & Family Planning Task Force, and further members of the amphibian conservation community who prefer to remain anonymous, all of whom provided invaluable feedback during the public consultation. We are indebted to all those who took the time to read the draft document at this stage, and were willing to contribute their expertise, which resulted in a much-improved document.


We also thank Soledad Delgado Oyarzún, Vishal Prasad Kumar, Kelsey Neam and Claire Relton who developed figures for this document, helping to clearly illustrate complex ideas. Thanks also to Wan Le for helping to format references.

Debbie Bishop has provided support right from our initial discussions about what format this updated ACAP should take. We thank Debbie both for her insightful comments and her moral support throughout the whole process.

We apologise to anyone whose name has inadvertently not been mentioned here; we are incredibly grateful to everyone that contributed to the development of this document. Finally, we would like to acknowledge the tireless efforts of the whole amphibian conservation community, whose research and work is represented in this document, and whose actions are what will make a difference for the amphibian species that need our support.

The ACAP Editorial Team:

Sally Wren, Amaël Borzée, Ruth Marcec-Greaves and Ariadne Angulo



The Extinct golden toad (*Incilius periglenes*) from Costa Rica was last seen in 1989; extensive searches in appropriate habitat within the former known range have failed to locate this species. This species was driven to extinction by a combination of chytridiomycosis, climate change, and airborne pollution. © James Kamstra, 1982

Acronyms and abbreviations

AArk	Amphibian Ark
ACAP	Amphibian Conservation Action Plan
ARLA	Amphibian Red List Authority
ASA	Amphibian Survival Alliance
ASG	IUCN SSC Amphibian Specialist Group
AZE	Alliance for Zero Extinction
Bd	<i>Batrachochytrium dendrobatidis</i>
Bsal	<i>Batrachochytrium salamandrivorans</i>
CBD	Convention on Biological Diversity
CITES	Convention on International Trade in Endangered Species of Wild Fauna and Flora
CNA	Conservation Needs Assessment
COVID-19	Coronavirus disease 2019
CPSG	IUCN SSC Conservation Planning Specialist Group
eDNA	Environmental DNA
EDGE	Evolutionarily Distinct and Globally Endangered
GAA	Global Amphibian Assessment
IPCC	Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change
IUCN	International Union for Conservation of Nature
KBA	Key Biodiversity Area
NGO	Non-Governmental Organisation
SSC	IUCN Species Survival Commission
USFWS	United States Fish and Wildlife Service









Chapter 11



Wild adult male Puerto Rican crested toad (*Peltophryne lemur*) photographed at night during a breeding event at the Guánica Dry Forest Biosphere Reserve, Puerto Rico. This species is classified as Endangered on the Red List. © Jan P. Zegarra.

Chapter 11

Conservation breeding

Benjamin Tapley¹ , Kevin Johnson² , Christopher J. Michaels¹, Kay Bradfield³ , Diane Barber⁴ , Lea Randall⁵ , Karthikeyan Vasudevan⁶, Cynthia Paszkowski⁷, Richard A. Griffiths⁸ , Natalie E. Calatayud^{9,10} , Edgardo Griffith¹¹ and Federico Kacolis¹² 

¹ Zoological Society of London, Regent's Park, London, UK

² Amphibian Ark, c/o CPSG, Apple Valley, MN USA

³ Perth Zoo, South Perth, WA, Australia

⁴ Department of Ectotherms, Fort Worth Zoo, Fort Worth, Texas, USA

⁵ Wilder Institute/Calgary Zoo Foundation, Calgary, Alberta, Canada

⁶ CSIR-Centre for Cellular and Molecular Biology, Laboratory for the Conservation of Endangered Species, Hyderabad, India

⁷ Department of Biological Sciences, University of Alberta, Edmonton, Alberta Canada

⁸ Durrell Institute of Conservation and Ecology, School of Anthropology and Conservation, University of Kent, UK

⁹ Amphibian Survival Alliance PO Box 129, Austin, TX 78767 USA

¹⁰ San Diego Zoo Wildlife Alliance, Beckman Center for Conservation Research, Escondido, CA, USA

¹¹ El Valle Amphibian Conservation Center Foundation, El Hato, El Valle de Antón, Coclé, Panama

¹² Sección Herpetología, Facultad de Ciencias Naturales y Museo, UNLP – CONICET, La Plata, Buenos Aires

Abstract

In the face of overwhelming and sometimes acute threats to many amphibians, such as disease or habitat destruction, the only hope in the short-term for populations and species at imminent risk of extinction is immediate rescue for the establishment and management of captive survival-assurance colonies (CSCs). Such programmes are not the final solution for conservation of any species, but in some circumstances may be the only chance to preserve the potential for eventual recovery of a species or population to threat-ameliorated habitat. A captive-assurance strategy should always be implemented as part of an integrated conservation plan that includes research on amphibian biology, advances in husbandry and veterinary care, pathology, training and capacity-building in range countries, mitigation of threats in the wild, and ongoing habitat and species protection and, where appropriate, disease risk analysis and translocation. The existence of captive colonies also facilitates many of the goals of other ACAP branches, including research on amphibians and their diseases as well as the development and validation of methods that may be later used in the field. Captive programmes do not replace important programmes related to, *inter alia*, habitat preservation, control of harvesting, climate change, and ecotoxicology, but instead provide options and resources to enable survival of some species while these research programmes proceed, and to directly or indirectly support such programmes.

Introduction

Amphibians face an overwhelming array of threats, and many species are facing imminent extinction. The fate of many species may be entirely

dependent on the establishment and management of captive survival-assurance colonies (CSCs). The establishment of CSCs may offer the only chance

to preserve the potential for eventual recovery of a species or population to threat-ameliorated habitat. When used, a captive-assurance strategy should always be implemented as part of an integrated and inclusive conservation strategy and such programmes should ideally be developed in the range country/countries of the target species. Whilst there are successful CSCs currently operating, the number of programmes is woefully small relative to the number of species that require CSCs. The establishment of CSCs can be supported and/or implemented by an array of stakeholders including government agencies, non-governmental organisations (e.g., Amphibian Ark [Box 11.1](#)), zoos, aquariums and even individuals.

The requirement and capacity for ex situ conservation varies regionally and is linked to spatial variation in amphibian species diversity, socioeconomic status of range states and the degree of threats posed to amphibian species in different parts of the world. The degree to which these variables are understood also varies considerably, and only ongoing field surveys, research and assessments will identify the

actual numbers of species that will require a captive component to their overall conservation plan, and therefore determine the relative capacity of a region. It should also be noted that, despite continuing advances in our understanding of the captive requirements of amphibians, captive husbandry capability is not sufficient to allow some species to thrive and breed ex situ. This is usually due to insufficient species-specific data, infrastructure and/or expertise.

Recognised challenges

A number of challenges can be faced by amphibian CSCs, including a lack of funding, resources and expertise; inability to reverse some threats; insufficient understanding of species' life history and environmental parameters; limited capacity to establish the number of conservation programmes required; and sometimes very low founder numbers. [Table 11.1](#) includes a summary of recognised challenges that can be faced by ex situ amphibian conservation programmes.

Box 11.1: The Amphibian Ark

The Amphibian Ark (AArk) was formed in 2007 to coordinate and support the ex situ component of the Amphibian Conservation Action Plan (ACAP), with the vision of ensuring the survival and diversity of amphibian species focusing on those that cannot currently be safe-guarded in their natural environments. AArk focuses its efforts on range-country programmes for those species which are otherwise likely to become extinct before the threats they face can be mitigated. In order for the Conservation Breeding Working Group (CBWG) priorities within the ACAP to be implemented, the global network of captive breeding programmes, which include CSCs, capacity-building programmes using analogue species, and applied ex situ research populations, must be explicitly linked to conservation and research programmes, both ex situ and in situ. To this end, AArk recommends that activities are implemented in five phases:

- 1)** Assessment and prioritisation of species' conservation needs (Conservation Needs Assessment).
- 2)** Establishment of captive operations in the range countries.
- 3)** Research and long-term maintenance of captive operations.
- 4)** Providing animals for research and translocation programmes.
- 5)** Post-translocation monitoring and assessment (see [Chapter 14](#)).

Table 11.1: Recognised challenges faced by amphibian captive survival-assurance colonies

Insufficient funding/resources	Relative to other taxa, amphibians remain grossly underfunded (see Chapter 2). Funding for CSCs comes from a diversity of sources but is often piecemeal, localised and short-term. CSCs require long term investment and take time to establish, this often results in project fatigue. Difficulties in sourcing specialist equipment in some range states has the potential to undermine programmes once they have been established.
Insufficient technical expertise and a lack of species champions	Amphibian captive husbandry expertise is sometimes lacking in the countries which support the greatest amphibian biodiversity and disproportionately threatened amphibian assemblages. Attempts have been made to address this balance, however, the lack of technical expertise remains a problem. It can be difficult to train the appropriate people, there is high staff turnover and once training has occurred there are no mechanisms in place to ensure that the knowledge gained through training is put into practice and disseminated to others. This last issue is due, at least in part, to a lack of species champions to develop and formally manage programmes for target species. Some captive husbandry practitioners also have difficulty accessing scientific literature on amphibian husbandry. The expertise underpinning many programmes is based on short training experience and some programmes may lack the longer term experience required to adapt to the problems in husbandry.
Identifying suitable candidate species that require CSCs	Not all amphibians are suitable candidates for CSCs. The threats for some species are not currently reversible or may not ever be reversible. Deciding which species should be established as a CSC can be problematic and must take into account the geo-political context and likelihood that the programme will succeed.
Failing to act and acting too late	CSCs are often seen as a measure of last resort and the establishment of a CSC is often postponed until numbers in the wild are dangerously low. This can greatly reduce the chances of establishing a viable CSC due to the issues inherent with small population sizes and the time potentially required to develop species-specific husbandry techniques. There is a choice to be made between prioritising small populations or larger, rapidly declining populations; in the one case extinction may be imminent, but programmes may fail, while in the other case there may still be time for in situ only intervention.
Lack of field data on species biology and reliance on non-evidence based husbandry practices	Data on life history and environmental parameters are lacking for many species and life stages (see Chapter 2). This paucity of information has the potential to undermine CSCs for species which are established where little to nothing is known about the species biology, ecology and habitat / microhabitat requirements. There is a prevalence of anecdote-based husbandry over evidence-based approaches. There is a need to engage with field biologists, the scientific literature, and the application of a methodical approach to changing husbandry. Engagement with industry/technical expertise may facilitate the design of better CSC facilities to provide appropriate conditions.
New threats and limited capacity	The captive breeding community must be able to respond to new threats as they emerge, in particular emerging infectious disease. There is already limited captive breeding capacity and more species in need of CSCs than there are programmes established. As new threats emerge and more species become threatened there is a risk that the captive breeding community will be unable to respond. Working with field biologists to conduct health surveillance of wild populations is crucial.
Ex situ management can produce maladapted amphibians	Some amphibians fail to thrive and breed in captivity under the conditions currently provided to them. The husbandry requirements of amphibians are more complex than previously thought and for many species that require CSCs, the husbandry requirements are unknown. There is a danger of not producing any captive bred offspring or producing

	maladapted amphibians in CSCs which may not be suitable for reintroduction, especially if captive conditions differ greatly from field conditions.
Risk of novel pathogens in ex situ facilities	CSC facilities should be located within the indigenous range of a species to minimise the risk of individuals in such programmes becoming exposed to novel pathogens, or bringing pathogens into existing captive populations. Doing so may also simplify the provision of some environmental and climatic variables that may be important for successful husbandry. Capacity may be lacking in some regions, and as a result, facilities may need to be located outside of the range state and / or distributional range of the target species and there is a risk that such populations of amphibians will become exposed to novel pathogens. This is especially an issue if hosting organisations maintain cosmopolitan animal collections. Many pathogens of concern cannot currently be effectively screened for, and this has the potential to undermine programmes and risk sympatric species at release sites at risk.
National, regional or local conservation authorities are/ become unsupportive	Conservation priorities depend on the scale of operation. A regionally threatened species may not be a national or global priority, and vice versa. This can result in different priorities within organisations operating at different scales. Equally, the level of support provided will depend on the political motivations of the authorities concerned. State support is likely to improve with appropriate engagement with in-country parties.
Lack of sufficient numbers or genetic diversity for founding populations	Currently, some studbooks are not well implemented in existing CSCs. Furthermore, genetic analysis is expensive, and the resources and expertise are not available to determine the genetic viability of many populations (both in the field and in captivity) that would benefit from it.
Lack of post release monitoring	Many amphibians are challenging to monitor as they may have cryptic lifestyles, have highly seasonal behaviours and natural population fluctuations. Inadequate post-release monitoring does not allow captive breeding practitioners to assess the success of their programmes. Poor survival and/or breeding of captive bred animals following their release to the wild needs to be identified as quickly as possible so that husbandry changes aimed at improving success can be identified and implemented.
Conflict of interests	Whilst conservation research has an important role in developing new husbandry techniques, disease mitigation and for developing reintroduction strategies, there is a risk that producing animals for research becomes the priority to the detriment of the captive population. The practical benefits of using captive bred offspring for research rather than release need to be critically assessed on a case-by-case basis.
Government and political constraints	If CSCs are implemented outside of range countries, the acquisition of permits to export wild-caught founder animals (and to subsequently import animals for eventual reintroduction) can be time-consuming and problematic. In-country collection permits can also be difficult to obtain in some countries, with long delays leading to further population declines in the wild.
Lack of stakeholder buy-in or involvement	Not all threatened amphibians are charismatic, which can make it difficult to attract resources, community, and government buy-in to conservation actions, especially for long-term commitment. Within the ex situ community, these are also often ignored in favour of more charismatic species, not only other amphibians, but charismatic, larger species. There is an increasing trend in zoos and aquariums for merging departments together, which can lead to a loss of species-specific expertise.

Lack of academic engagement


While the body of knowledge of emerging diseases has grown immensely in the last two decades, with some of this knowledge being derived from captive animals, we are still not at a stage where we can re-establish wild populations. Additionally, due to the nature of the threats to many amphibians, it has been difficult to apply some of that generated knowledge to 'real life' management decisions. Conservation initiatives are often not attractive to academics due their long-term commitment combined with the potential of failure. This makes it hard for researchers to generate outputs and meet academic metrics of impact. Moreover, it may also explain why so few translocation feasibility trials have been conducted on captive populations, or why alternative actions and scenarios have not been more thoroughly explored. Moreover, the research/academic community is probably best positioned to help address current uncertainties that may be holding back the next steps of these programmes.

Advances in species prioritisation and holistic programme planning

Given the inadequate global capacity to establish and maintain CSCs for all threatened species, and the necessary long-term nature of most CSCs, species prioritisation is a critical tool in a strategic approach to amphibian conservation, and a number of advances have been made in this area since the first ACAP (e.g. Gumbs et al., 2018; Isaac et al., 2012; Johnson et al., 2018). Additionally, the need for integration of ex- and in situ interventions (i.e. following the IUCN Conservation Planning Specialist Group's One Plan Approach), which was not always the case for captive breeding programmes historically, was highlighted initially by the IUCN/SSC (2002), then subsequently by the first ACAP (Gascon et al., 2007) and continues to be the case. In 2006, a taxon selection and prioritisation working group developed a decision tree to help select and prioritise which species are most in need of ex situ assistance. In 2009, the AArk began expanding and refining this tool into the Conservation Needs Assessment (CNA process (www.ConservationNeeds.org), as a method to promote needs-based species prioritisation, and holistic programme planning with defined exit strategies. A CNA assigns recommended actions to a species from a range of eleven conservation roles, from no current needs, through in situ conservation or research only, to full ex situ rescue or ark operations (Johnson et al., 2018), with national species priorities determined by scores allocated to responses within


each CNA. Prioritisation of species is still constrained partly by incomplete knowledge of the total diversity of amphibians, and the current conservation status of the majority of described amphibian species (Tapley et al., 2018), and CNAs should be updated as additional or updated data are available, to ensure accurate priorities and recommendations for action. In order to inform conservation prioritisation the conservation needs of all threatened amphibians must be evaluated, and then re-evaluated every ten years, or when new data are available, to ensure the assessments remain current and valuable. CSCs should be established based on priorities at the time and reviewed as priorities change. Since 2018, a number of joint amphibian IUCN Red List of Threatened Species™ (Red List) and Conservation Needs Assessment workshops have taken place. This joint approach considerably reduces the financial and human resources required compared to conducting the two assessments separately and facilitates the necessary close link between the processes. It is envisioned that joint IUCN Red List and CNA assessments will continue into the future. However, completing assessments for all threatened amphibians and updating them on a cyclic basis to inform conservation action is costly. Moreover, the prioritisation process is only of value if it is followed by the establishment of captive programmes, as well as the other CNA recommendations, for those species that are identified as requiring them as part of integrated (or holistic) conservation recovery programmes. Therefore, the ex situ response must be strategically linked to the CNA process.

Number of described amphibians that have been subject to a Conservation Needs Assessment.




- Species subject to a CNA
- Species not subject to a CNA

Number of species that have had their conservation needs assessed that require urgent ex situ rescue.



- Requires ex situ rescue
- Rescue not required

Number of species recommended for urgent ex situ rescue by a Conservation Needs Assessment that are in ex situ programmes.



- In ex situ programme
- Not in ex situ programme

Figure 11.1: The number of amphibians that have had their conservation needs assessed, the proportion of assessed species that require urgent ex situ rescue and the proportion of the species requiring urgent ex situ rescue that are currently established as an CSC. Source: Data from AArk's programme progress database, October 2021.

The conservation breeding community has responded positively to CNAs (Figure 11.1), as these assessments have been a pivotal consideration in the collection planning processes adopted by some regional zoo and aquarium associations (e.g. Barber & Poole, 2014; Garcia et al., 2020). However, many individual zoos appear to be unaware of the CNA tool (Dos Santos et al., 2021). Mechanisms need to be developed to ensure that CNA recommendations are more-widely adopted at the national level when conservation strategies are developed for amphibians.

Paradigm shift and the development of regional capacity

The 2007 ACAP recognised the importance of establishing CSCs within species range countries and using facilities dedicated to sympatric species with shared management histories (Gascon et al., 2007), and this recommendation is maintained by the CBWG and AArk (Zippel et al., 2011). If this is not the case, exposure to alien pathogens is much more likely (Pessier & Mendelson III, 2017; Zippel

et al., 2011), which may create additional threats for the focal species and/or syntopic taxa following translocation of captive individuals (Cunningham, Daszak & Rodríguez, 2003). Such pathogens have been detected in cosmopolitan amphibian collections (Cunningham et al., 2015; Miller et al., 2008; Walker et al., 2008), or may be unknown or unreliably detected and difficult to eradicate (e.g. Blooi et al., 2015; Rijks et al., 2018), and so pose a substantial and real threat (e.g. Walker et al., 2008). In addition to infectious disease, hosting CSCs outside of range countries potentially has cultural, political, legal, and social implications for the long-term success of conservation programmes (Tapley et al., 2015). An in-range approach requires capacity building, however, as regions most in need of CSCs are often those where few resources currently exist (Edmonds et al., 2012; Gagliardo et al., 2008). A number of high-profile CSCs have therefore been established outside of range countries because it was not possible to build capacity in time to rescue populations from acute declines, e.g. mountain chicken frogs (*Leptodactylus fallax*) and Kihansi spray toads (*Nectophrynoides asperginis*). Although these programmes are key to species survival

Box 11.2: Puerto Rican crested toad (*Peltophryne lemur*)

As the Puerto Rican crested toad (*Peltophryne lemur*) reintroduction programme nears its fourth decade, the ebb and flow of failures and achievements is recognised as part of the programme's functionality. Throughout this process, people working on this programme have discovered that trust between partners is paramount and failures are not about proving someone wrong or assigning blame. Rather, failures are learning opportunities to build upon, adapt, and move forward as a group.

One of the biggest hurdles for this programme was transitioning leadership from a few invested individuals overseeing a small reintroduction effort, to an island-wide programme instilling stewardship for a Critically Endangered species — connecting volunteers, universities, zoos, local and federal agencies, and nongovernmental organisations. The development of a Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) between primary agency partners responsible for the species protection and recovery, establishment of a Puerto Rican Crested Toad Working Group for all stakeholders, and increased communication and capacity building was a slow process, but resulted in improved functionality and will prove paramount for this programme's long-term stability.

Wild crested toad populations were originally divided into two distinct northern (N) and southern (S) populations. Genetic analysis of the two populations revealed that mitochondrial haplotypes within the lineages were moderately divergent, but they were determined to be no more divergent than other populations of amphibians (Beauclerc, Johnson & White, 2010). By 1992 the N wild population was believed to be extirpated but N toads were still maintained as a distinct breeding group in the captive population. The N zoo population was established in 1980 from four inbred siblings and by 2008 was exhibiting signs of inbreeding depression. In 2011 it was decided to perform a genetic rescue of the N population by breeding them with wild caught S toads to establish a captive NxS population. Releases of NxS toads began at northern reintroduction sites in 2012 while S population releases continued at the southern sites. For the next several years, the Puerto Rican crested toad were managed as two populations (NxS and S), but limited space and resources created the need to manage the population as one species. However, not all partners agreed to a final merger despite the NxS toads' ability to thrive in wet forest habitat in comparison to the wild toads' dry scrub habitat in the south. There were concerns that there could be some deleterious alleles that might negatively impact survivorship for any toads ultimately released in the dry scrub forest habitat in the south. After soliciting opinions from geneticists and biologists outside of the programme and thoughtful debate, it was agreed in 2017 to combine the captive populations and manage the Puerto Rican crested toad as one population. Resources were simply too limited to continue effective management of two captive populations and maximisation of genetic diversity and the potential for increasing overall fitness for the population outweighed concerns of low probability of negative outbreeding effects. Additionally, all reintroduction sites are isolated by geographic and anthropogenic barriers, which helped alleviate concerns of causing any risk to the remaining wild population.

Lastly, there were many challenges related to the creation and maintenance of reintroduction sites and monitoring in general. There is still limited knowledge of the toads' natural history and population sizes, and despite proven survivorship and recruitment at all but one reintroduction site, funding and staff shortages continue to hinder this programme. However, the establishment of reintroduction and field management standard operating procedures to assist partners at pond sites and increase monitoring efforts was a useful tool and most importantly, progress continues to be made and new insights gained along the way have allowed the team working to recover this species to reevaluate and adapt management strategies for the remaining wild population to be protected and new populations to be established.

and supported by AArk, they are acknowledged to be suboptimal in this respect. Both programmes work closely with in-range governments and communities, however, to partially address this issue.

In the years immediately following the publication of the ACAP in 2007, the number of amphibian captive programmes increased (Harding, Griffiths & Pavajeau, 2016), and several well-equipped facilities dedicated to amphibian conservation breeding were established in regions where capacity was previously lacking (Bourke, 2010; Edmonds et al., 2012; Harding et al., 2016; Hernández Díaz, 2013; Tapley et al., 2014; Ziegler, 2015). According to Harding et al. (2016), by 2014, approximately half of captive breeding programmes were undertaken by government or non-government agencies rather than zoos or aquariums. It is therefore important to avoid conflation of ex situ conservation as a concept with zoo and aquarium populations of animals.

Captive husbandry: advances and current limitations

There have been notable advances in a number of relevant areas since the publication of the 2007 ACAP (Table 11.2)

Species-specific husbandry and management protocols have also been developed for a host of species via a range of channels including peer-reviewed articles and technical reports (Jameson et al., 2019; Poole & Grow, 2012; Tapley et al., 2016). Furthermore, techniques have been developed and validated that aid in situ actions such as population monitoring and disease mitigation (Hudson et al., 2016; Jayson et al., 2018; Scheele et al., 2014; Tapley et al., 2020) and the establishment of facilities in range states (e.g. Nicolson et al., 2017).

While these advances have contributed to the ex situ community's ability to successfully maintain and breed an increasing number of species (e.g. Ettling et al., 2013; McFadden et al., 2018; Michaels et al., 2015; Preininger et al., 2012), it is still unable to meet the needs of many species due to husbandry

limitations that ultimately derive from inadequate understanding of species biology and pathology, insufficient resources and regional expertise (Flach et al., 2020; Pessier et al., 2014) and because the required technology is not currently available. These knowledge gaps are more profound for some amphibian groups, such as Gymnophiona (Murphy & Gratwicke, 2017; Flach et al., 2020).

Adaptation to captivity

Over time, any captive population of amphibians will adapt genetically, phenotypically and behaviourally to captive environments, which inevitably differ from wild conditions in myriad ways. Potential adaptations to captivity include:

- Vocalisations/phonotaxis (Passos, Garcia & Young, 2021, 2017)
- Antipredator behaviour (Crane & Mathis, 2011)
- Induced morphological antipredator responses (Kraaijeveld-Smit et al., 2006)
- Microbial communities (Antwis et al., 2014; Becker et al., 2014; Michaels & Preziosi, 2020; Passos, Garcia & Young, 2018)
- Colouration (Dugas et al., 2013; Ogilvy et al., 2012)
- Size/morphology (Bennett & Murray, 2015)
- Nutritional state (Silla et al., 2016)
- Fecundity and offspring viability (Dugas et al., 2013)

Maximising genetic diversity is crucially important, particularly in instances where animals bred in captivity serve as a source population for reintroduction and translocation (Grueber et al., 2015; Jameson et al., 2019). However, outbreeding depression should also be an important consideration when managing breeding programmes (Allentoft & O'Brien, 2010). Understanding species-specific histories, early viability selection and local

Table 11.2: Selected references regarding advances in amphibian husbandry since the publication of the first ACAP

Area of husbandry	Associated references
Enclosure use and design	Cikanek et al., 2014; Mannings et al., 2023
Nutrition	Antwis, Preziosi & Fidgett, 2014; Antwis et al., 2014; Byrne & Silla, 2017; Dierenfeld & King 2008; Dugas, Yeager & Richards-Zawacki, 2013; Edwards et al., 2017; Jayson et al., 2018; Keogh et al., 2018; McInerney, Silla & Byrne, 2019; Michaels et al., 2021; Newton-Youens, Michaels & Preziosi, 2022; Ogilvy & Preziosi, 2012; Ogilvy, Preziosi & Fidgett, 2012; Rodríguez & Pessier, 2014; Silla, McInerney & Byrne, 2016; Stücker et al., 2022; Venesky et al., 2012
Provision of appropriate lighting	Antwis & Browne, 2009; Baines et al., 2016; Michaels, Antwis & Preziosi, 2015; Shaw et al., 2012; Tapley et al., 2014; Verschooren et al., 2011; Whatley et al., 2020
Provision of enrichment	Michaels, Downie & Campbell-Palmer, 2014
Behavioural syndromes	See review in Kelleher, Silla & Byrne, 2018
Artificial manipulation of seasonally dependent adaptations (brumation, aestivation, torpor)	Calatayud et al., 2015; Calatayud et al., 2020
Water quality and larval rearing techniques	Behr & Rödder, 2018; Ciani et al., 2018; Fenolio et al., 2014; Gawor et al., 2012; Gower et al., 2012; Higgins et al., 2021; Lassiter et al., 2020; Michaels, Antwis & Preziosi, 2014; Michaels & Preziosi, 2015; Michaels et al., 2015; Pasmans et al., 2012
Health assessment	Davis & Maerz, 2011; Jayson et al., 2018; Narayan & Hero, 2011; Narayan, Hero & Cockrem, 2012
Substrates	Tapley et al., 2014; Whatley, Tapley & Michaels, 2020
Disease treatment protocols and pathogen management	Blooi et al., 2015; Blooi, et al., 2015; Brannelly, Richards-Zawacki & Pessier, 2012; Garner et al., 2009; Martel et al., 2011; Michaels et al., 2018; Rendle et al., 2015; Ujszegi et al., 2021
Microbiome	Becker et al., 2014; Fieschi-Méric et al., 2023; Micahels, & Preziosi, 2020; Michaels, 2022
Welfare	Boultonwood, O'Brien & Rose, 2021; Brod, Brookes & Garner, 2019; Carter, et al., 2021; Dias et al., 2022; Graves, Dias & Michaels, 2023; Holmes et al., 2016; Holmes et al., 2018; Ramos & Ortiz-Díez, 2021; Slight, Nichols & Arbuckle, 2015
Pre-translocation training	Crane & Mathis, 2011
Assisted reproductive techniques and biobanking	See Chapter 12

environmental adaptation is necessary as not all species will respond to inbreeding and artificial selection uniformly (Grueber et al., 2015). Suboptimal captive husbandry may also result in individuals with lower phenotypic fitness that are less likely to establish in wild habitats following translocation.

Adaptation to artificial captive environments could be reduced if every aspect of the natural environments could be replicated in captivity, although this is currently logistically and/or technologically impossible (Tapley et al., 2015) or ethically challenging in terms of animal welfare in the case of predators, pathogens, parasites, and other stressors. Minimising the number of generations that a species is kept in captivity by reducing the length of time a species is held in captivity, increasing generation length, or using cryopreservation and other assisted reproductive technologies are other methods that can be used to minimise adaptation to artificial captive environments (Frankham, 2008; Williams & Hoffman, 2009; **Chapter 12**). Periodically importing individuals from nature

(Frankham & Loebel, 1992) and equalising families at each generation (Frankham et al., 2000) are other strategies that can substantially reduce adaptation to captivity. Another strategy is to manage a population as several small reproductively isolated units where different components of diversity are lost randomly by drift, then crossing these units to rescue genetic diversity and produce animals for translocations (Margan et al., 1998); outbreeding depression should be considered as a potential risk when managing populations in this way.

Lessons learnt from reintroductions and translocations

Several high-profile amphibian species have been subject to captive breeding programmes that also include reintroduction efforts. Some of the reintroductions have been more successful than others, but for all of them, learning from any failings, as well as modifying processes, is vital to improving the

Box 11.3: White-bellied frog (*Geocrinia alba*)

Critically Endangered white-bellied frogs (*Geocrinia alba*) have a highly restricted and fragmented distribution in south-west Western Australia, with more than 50% of known populations disappearing over recent decades. Perth Zoo has been head-starting white-bellied frogs since 2008 to contribute to in situ recovery efforts. This is an effective strategy as more than 95% of fertile eggs/larvae brought into the Zoo survive to release, whereas survival to metamorphosis in the wild is only approximately 20% (McFadden et al., 2018). By late 2020, over 1,000 juvenile white-bellied frogs had been released to the wild to establish new populations and supplement existing small ones (K. Bradfield, personal observation). To minimise any adverse impacts of egg clutch collection on source populations, a proportion of each clutch is released at the site where it was collected (McFadden et al., 2018).

The results of post-release monitoring indicate that translocations of this species can be successful; a population established with head-started frogs is now one of the largest self-sustaining wild populations with others in a stable or increasing trend. However, one translocation attempt appears to have failed, and the distribution of frogs at one of the supplementation sites has changed (K. Williams, pers. comm.). Understanding the primary drivers of translocation success vs failure is therefore critical to on-going recovery efforts. Hoffmann et al. (2021) found that dry season soil moisture, which is likely to be decreasing in the area where *G. alba* occurs due to regional changes in climate and hydrology, predicts the outcomes of the translocations undertaken to date with a high degree of accuracy, and also explains the persistence/extinction of naturally occurring populations. This clearly highlights the importance of understanding the fine-scale habitat associations of threatened amphibians when selecting release sites, particularly for species with limited dispersal ability.

success of future attempts. Reintroductions and other translocations are covered in more detail in [Chapter 14](#) of this publication; however, examples of lessons learned from a few programmes can be seen in [Boxes 11.2-11.5](#).

Future directions

People working with ex situ amphibian populations have made major advances in core areas since the launch of the first ACAP. However, further development is required to meet the global need for

these programmes. Whilst substantial advances have been made in the development of regional amphibian husbandry capacity, there are still gaps, particularly in West, Central and East Africa, and southern and Southeast Asia, which should be addressed by investment in these regions going forward. Furthermore, there must be continued effort to identify the conservation needs of individual species through the CNA process and to ensure that these CNAs remain up to date.

Our knowledge of the husbandry requirements of many amphibians has been enhanced by a substantial

Box 11.4: El Rincon stream frog (*Pleurodema somuncurens*)

The El Rincon stream frog, (*Pleurodema somuncurens*), is an endemic species comprised of a few isolated subpopulations, restricted to the hot springs of the headwaters of the Valcheta Stream in northern Patagonia, Argentina (Velasco, 2018). During the last four decades, this frog's range dramatically declined, and some subpopulations have become extinct (Velasco, 2018).

In 2012 an ambitious recovery plan for this species and its habitat began, following guidelines outlined in the *Amphibian Conservation Action Plan* (Gascon et al., 2007), the *El Rincon Stream Frog Conservation Action Plan* (Kacoliris et al., 2018), and the *Conservation Action Plan for Amphibians of Argentina* (Vaira, Akmentins & Lavilla, 2018). As part of this plan, in 2015 a CSC was established for this species with the aim of producing individuals for reintroduction. Between 2017 and 2021, five translocations of a total of 200 young frogs and 3,000 tadpoles, all bred in captivity, were undertaken to restored wild habitats where subpopulations of this species had gone extinct. Post release monitoring demonstrated the establishment of the reintroduced individuals (Martínez Aguirre et al., 2019). This news encouraged the team working on this species to proceed to the second step of the reintroduction plan, which concluded in 2021 with translocations of a total of 2,500 tadpoles hatched and raised in captivity to three new restored habitats. Monitoring carried out a few months later showed that the tadpoles completed metamorphosis in the wild. If successful, these reintroductions will add a total of four new subpopulations of this Critically Endangered species, enhancing metapopulation dynamics and increasing long-term viability. Further research will determine which strategy is best in terms of effort and biological success (i.e. translocation of tadpoles vs translocation of froglets).

The reintroduction attempts carried on with the El Rincon stream frog are the first undertaken in Argentina with amphibians. Although results are even more positive than expected, there were several barriers in terms of scepticism coming mainly from colleagues from the academic realm. This scepticism, based on the fact that no previous reintroductions were carried with amphibians in Argentina, made environmental authorities more cautious about issuing permits, causing delays, and sometimes resulting in overcrowding in the ex situ facilities. This experience illustrates that future reintroduction programmes must be based on strong support from several stakeholders, engendering a more participative development of the conservation actions planned.

Box 11.5: El Valle Amphibian Conservation Center (EVACC)

Based on lessons learnt whilst undertaking this programme, it is highly recommended that, before an amphibian CSC with conservation purposes is launched, a complementary “Emergency Release Plan” (ERP) should be developed, which includes actions to be taken in the event of a serious situation within the ex situ facility (e.g. long-term lack of access during a pandemic, political instability, natural disaster or other emergency). This ERP does not replace a long-term release/reintroduction plan and should be implemented in cases involving releasing the animals back into the wild, when failing to do so could result in the imminent loss of the entire captive population. Based on the circumstances and magnitude of a given event, those releases could be evaluated as necessary and classified as soft or hard releases.

Under normal circumstances, releases or reintroductions should not be considered if life history or habitat requirements are not yet known. Basic population demographic data on the species should be gathered if these parameters are not already known, as these will be required for population viability analysis and for informing decisions about which stages of the life cycle should be used for the reintroductions. Similarly, habitat requirements need to be determined so that habitat management, restoration and creation can be carried out in a way that will maximise the chances of the reintroduction succeeding (Moore & Church, 2008).

number of research projects over the past 15 years but gaps remain, particularly regarding nutrition, diagnosis of disease and subsequent treatment, and methods for maintaining and breeding particular species in captivity, especially under biosecure conditions. These gaps can be addressed through further collaborative and co-ordinated research and partnership with, *inter alia*, nutritionists, wildlife health experts, field biologists and husbandry experts. The development of model systems based on existing knowledge from species that have been maintained successfully in captivity may be advantageous in understanding CSC requirements and implications for species that have not previously been kept in captivity, although this is not always the case.

Many amphibian conservation breeding programmes were established as a response to infectious diseases, especially fungal pathogens. Whilst there are promising advances in the mitigation of chytridiomycoses in the wild (e.g. Bosch et al., 2015; Scheele et al., 2014; Woodhams et al., 2011), these advances are not sufficient to facilitate the re-establishment of wild populations of amphibians that have been extirpated by Bd and Bsal, or other pathogens, while pathogens are still present in the environment

(Mendelson III, 2018; See [Chapter 6](#)). Overcoming this challenge is critical to the success of many CSCs. As a result, the ex situ community must continue to fund costly breeding programmes for an indefinite period of time (Tapley et al., 2015). Exit strategies should be identified for all captive breeding programmes to ensure that limited resources are being used to the greatest effect.

The continued integration of ex situ interventions within well-defined holistic, prioritised conservation plans is critical to ensure that conservation efforts result in species recovery (e.g. Adams et al., 2014; Azat et al., 2021; Kissel et al., 2014; Lewis et al., 2019; Rosa et al., 2015; Scheele et al., 2014). Good communication and relationship-building skills, and thoughtful planning with appropriate participants who have the authority to implement actions and establish shared achievable goals are critical to achieving this. It is recommended here that holistic and inclusive planning processes, such as those utilised by the IUCN Conservation Planning Specialist Group (CPSG, 2020), are followed. Staff at captive institutions need to devote time to establishing relationships with those that work with threatened species in their region/focus area, if they do not already

exist, and maintaining or strengthening existing relationships by engaging with all relevant stakeholders such as landowners, government, academia, local communities and others. Even with the above knowledge gaps addressed, there is not currently sufficient resourcing to meet the global need for CSCs. The pursuit and securing of additional funding streams and models to support long term, holistic conservation projects incorporating CSCs is needed.

It is encouraging to see the advances made over the past 15 years, and a number of successful programmes have been implemented despite the conservation breeding community falling short of the original aspiration due the constraints mentioned above. Many amphibian taxa will become extinct without ongoing or new ex situ intervention, and it is more important than ever that new CSCs are established strategically, and as part of an integrated approach to recover highly threatened amphibian species.

References

- Adams, S. L., Morton, M. N., Terry, A., Young, R. P., Dawson, J., Martin, L., ... Gray, G. (2014). *Long-term recovery strategy for the critically endangered mountain chicken 2014-2034*. Mountain Chicken Recovery Program. <https://doi.org/10.13140/RG.2.1.3836.8487>
- Allentoft, M. E. & O'Brien, J. (2010). Global amphibian declines, loss of genetic diversity and fitness: a review. *Diversity*, 2(1), 47–71. <https://doi.org/10.3390/d2010047>
- Antwis, R. E. & Browne, R. K. (2009). Ultraviolet radiation and vitamin D₃ in amphibian health, behavior, diet and conservation. *Comparative Biochemistry and Physiology Part A*, 154:184–190. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cbpa.2009.06.008>
- Antwis, R. E., Haworth, R. L., Engelmoer, D. J. P., Ogilvy, V., Fidgett, A. L., & Preziosi, R. F. (2014). Ex situ diet influences the bacterial community associated with the skin of red-eyed tree frogs (*Agalychnis callidryas*). *PLoS ONE*, 9(1), e85563. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0085563>
- Antwis, R. E., Preziosi, R. F. & Fidgett, A. L. (2014). The effect of different UV and calcium provisioning on health and fitness traits of red-eyed tree frogs (*Agalychnis callidryas*). *Journal of Zoo and Aquarium Research*, 2, 69–76. <https://doi.org/10.19227/jzar.v2i3.70>
- Azat, C., Valenzuela-Sánchez, A., Delgado, S., Cunningham, A. A., Alvarado-Rybak, M., Bourke, J., Briones, R., Cabeza, O., Castro-Carrasco, C., Charrier, A., Correa, C., Crump, M. L., Cuevas, C. C., de la Maza, M., Díaz-Vidal, S., Flores, E., Harding, G., Lavilla, E. O., Mendez, M. A., ... Angulo, A. (2021). A flagship for Austral temperate forest conservation: An action plan for Darwin's frogs brings key stakeholders together. *Oryx*, 55(3), 356–363. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0030605319001236>
- Baines, F., Chattell, J., Dale, J., Garrick, D., Gill, I., Goetz, M., ... Swatman, M. (2016). How much UV-B does my reptile need? The UV-Tool, a guide to the selection of UV lighting for reptiles and amphibians in captivity. *Journal of Zoo and Aquarium Research*, 4(1), 42–63. <https://doi.org/10.19227/jzar.v4i1.150>
- Barber, D. & Poole, V. A. (2014). *Association of Zoos and Aquariums Amphibian Taxon Advisory Group Regional collection plan, 3rd edition*. Maryland.
- Beauclerc, K. B., Johnson, B. & White, B. N. (2010). Genetic rescue of an inbred captive population of the critically endangered Puerto Rican crested toad (*Peltophryne lemur*) by mixing lineages. *Conservation Genetics*, 11(1), 21–32. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10592-008-9782-z>
- Becker, M. H., Richards-Zawacki, C. L., Gratwicke, B., & Belden, L. K. (2014). The effect of captivity on the cutaneous bacterial community of the critically endangered Panamanian golden frog (*Atelopus zeteki*). *Biological Conservation*, 176, 199–206. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biocon.2014.05.029>
- Behr, N. & Rödder, D. (2018). Captive management, reproduction, and comparative larval development of Klappenbach's red-bellied frog, *Melanophryniscus klappenbachii* Prigioni and Langone, 2000. *Amphibian & Reptile Conservation*, 12(1), 18–26.
- Becker, M. H., Richards-Zawacki, C. L., Gratwicke, B., & Belden, L. K. (2014). The effect of captivity on the cutaneous bacterial community of the critically endangered Panamanian golden frog (*Atelopus zeteki*). *Biological Conservation*, 176, 199–206. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biocon.2014.05.029>
- Bennett, A. M. & Murray, D. L. (2015). Carryover effects of phenotypic plasticity: Embryonic environment and larval response to predation risk in wood frogs (*Lithobates sylvaticus*) and northern leopard frogs (*Lithobates pipiens*). *Canadian Journal of Zoology*, 93(11), 867–877. <https://doi.org/10.1139/cjz-2015-0129>
- Blooi, M., Martel, A., Haesebrouck, F., Vercammen, F., Bonte, D., & Pasmans, F. (2015). Treatment of urodelans based on temperature dependent infection dynamics of *Batrachochytrium salamandrivorans*. *Scientific Reports*, 5, 8037. <https://doi.org/10.1038/srep08037>
- Blooi, M., Pasmans, F., Rouffaer, L., Haesebrouck, F., Vercammen, F., & Martel, A. (2015). Successful treatment of *Batrachochytrium salamandrivorans* infections in salamanders requires synergy between voriconazole, polymyxin e and temperature. *Scientific Reports*, 5, 11788. <https://doi.org/10.1038/srep11788>
- Bosch, J., Sanchez-Tomé, E., Fernández-Loras, A., Oliver, J. A., Fisher, M. C., & Garner, T. W. (2015). Successful elimination of a lethal wildlife infectious disease in nature. *Biology Letters*, 11(11), 20150874. <https://doi.org/10.1098/rsbl.2015.0874>
- Boultonwood, J., O'Brien, M. & Rose, P. (2021). Bold frogs or shy toads? How did the COVID-19 closure of zoological organisations affect amphibian activity? *Animals*, 11 (7), 1982. <https://doi.org/10.3390/ani11071982>
- Bourke, J. (2010). Darwin's frog captive rearing facility in Chile. *FrogLog*, 6, 6–10.
- Brannelly, L. A., Richards-Zawacki, C. L. & Pessier, A. P. (2012). Clinical trials with itraconazole as a treatment for chytrid fungal infections in amphibians. *Diseases of Aquatic Organisms*, 101(2), 95–104. <https://doi.org/10.3354/dao02521>
- Brod, S., Brookes, L. & Garner, T. W. (2019). Discussing the future of amphibians in research. *Lab Animal*, 48, 16–18. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41684-018-0193-6>
- Byrne, P. G. & Silla, A. J. (2017). Testing the effect of dietary carotenoids on larval survival, growth and development in the critically endangered southern corroboree frog. *Zoo Biology*, 36(2), 161–169. <https://doi.org/10.1002/zoo.21352>
- Calatayud, N. E., Hammond, T. T., Gardner, N. R., Curtis, M. J., Swaisgood, R. R. & Shier, D. M. (2020). Benefits of overwintering in the conservation breeding and translocation of a critically endangered amphibian. *Conservation Science and Practice*, 28, e341. <https://doi.org/10.1111/csp2.341>
- Calatayud, N. E., Langhorne, C. J., Mullen, A. C., Williams, C. L., Smith, T., Bullock, L., ... Willard, S. T. (2015). A hormone priming regimen and hibernation affect oviposition in the boreal toad (*Anaxyrus boreas boreas*). *Theriogenology*, 84(4), 600–607. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.theriogenology.2015.04.017>
- Carter, K. C., Fieschi-Méric, L., Servini, F., Wilkinson, M., Gower, D. J., Tapley, B., & Michaels, C. J. (2021). Investigating the effect of disturbance on prey consumption in captive Congo caecilians *Herpele squalostoma*. *Journal of Zoological and Botanical Gardens*, 2, 705–715. <https://doi.org/10.3390/jzbg2040050>
- Ciani, J. F. C., Guerrel, J., Baitchman, E., Diaz, R., Evans, M., Ibáñez, R., ... Gratwicke, B. (2018). The relationship between spindly leg syndrome incidence and water composition, overfeeding, and diet in newly

- metamorphosed harlequin frogs (*Ateolopus* spp.). *PLoS ONE*, 13(10), e0204314. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0204314>
- Cikanek, S. J., Nockold, S., Brown, J. L., Carpenter, J. W., Estrada, A., Guerrel, J., Hope, K., Ibanez, R., Putman, S. B., & Gratwicke, B. (2014). Evaluating group housing strategies for the ex-situ conservation of harlequin frogs (*Ateolopus* spp.) using behavioral and physiological indicators. *PLoS ONE*, 9, e90218. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0090218>
- CPSG. (2020). *Species conservation planning principles & steps, ver. 1.0*. IUCN SSC Conservation Planning Specialist Group: Apple Valley, MN. [https://www.cpsg.org/sites/cpsg.org/files/documents/CPSG Principles %26 Steps English.pdf](https://www.cpsg.org/sites/cpsg.org/files/documents/CPSG%20Principles%20Steps%20English.pdf)
- Crane, A. L. & Mathis, A. (2011). Predator-recognition training: A conservation strategy to increase postrelease survival of hellbenders in head-starting programs. *Zoo Biology*, 30(6), 611–622. <https://doi.org/10.1002/zoo.20358>
- Cunningham, A. A., Beckmann, K., Perkins, M., Fitzpatrick, L., Cromie, R., Redbond, J., ... Fisher, M. C. (2015). Emerging disease in UK amphibians. *Veterinary Record*, 176(18), 468. <https://doi.org/10.1136/vr.h2264>
- Cunningham, A. A., Daszak, P. & Rodríguez, J. (2003). Pathogen pollution: Defining a parasitological threat to biodiversity conservation. *Journal of Parasitology*, 89, S78–S83.
- Davis, A. K. & Maerz, J. C. (2011). Assessing stress levels of captive-reared amphibians with hematological data: Implications for conservation initiatives. *Journal of Herpetology*, 45(1), 40–44. <https://doi.org/10.1670/10-180.1>
- Dias, J. E., Ellis, C., Smith, T. E., Hosie, C. A., Tapley, B., & Michaels, C. J. (2022). Baseline behavioral data and behavioral correlates of disturbance for the Lake Oku clawed frog (*Xenopus longipes*). *Journal of Zoological and Botanical Gardens*, 3(2), 184–197. <https://doi.org/10.3390/jzbg3020016>
- Dierenfeld, E. S. & King, J. (2008). Digestibility and mineral availability of phoenix worms, *Hermetia illucens*, ingested by mountain chicken frogs, *Leptodactylus fallax*. *Journal of Herpetological Medicine and Surgery*, 18(3), 100–105. <https://doi.org/10.5818/1529-9651.18.3-4.100>
- Dos Santos, M. M., Griffiths, R. A., Jowett, T., & Bishop, P. J. (2022). Zoos and amphibian conservation: Evaluating the impact of “The Year of The Frog” Campaign. *Zoo Biology*, 41(3), 226–233. <https://doi.org/10.1002/zoo.21660>
- Dugas, M. B., Yeager, J. & Richards-Zawacki, C. L. (2013). Carotenoid supplementation enhances reproductive success in captive strawberry poison frogs (*Oophaga pumilio*). *Zoo Biology*, 32(6), 655–658. <https://doi.org/10.1002/zoo.21102>
- Edmonds, D., Rakotoarisoa, J. C., Dolch, R., Pramuk, J., Gagliardo, R., Andreone, F., ... Robsomanitradasana, E. (2012). Building capacity to implement conservation breeding programs for frogs in Madagascar: Results from year one of Mitsinjo’s amphibian husbandry research and captive breeding facility. *Amphibian and Reptile Conservation*, 5(3), 57–69.
- Edwards, C. L., Byrne, P. G., Harlow, P., & Silla, A. J. (2017). Dietary carotenoid supplementation enhances the cutaneous bacterial communities of the critically endangered southern corroboree frog (*Pseudophryne corroboree*). *Microbial Ecology*, 73(2), 435–444. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00248-016-0853-2>
- Ettling, J., Wanner, M. D., Schuette, C. D., Aldridge, R. D., Watkins-Colwell, G., & Bell, C. (2013). Captive reproduction and husbandry of adult Ozark hellbenders, *Cryptobranchus alleganiensis bishopi*. *Herpetological Review*, 44, 605–610.
- Fenolio, D. B., Gorman, T. A., Jones, K. C., Mandica, M., Phillips, L., Melde, L., ... Haas, C. A. (2014). Rearing the federally endangered reticulated flatwoods salamander, *Ambystoma bishopi*, from eggs through metamorphosis. *Herpetological Review*, 45(1), 62–65.
- Fieschi-Méric, L., Van Leeuwen, P., Hopkins, K., Bournonville, M., Denoël, M., & Lesbarrères, D. (2023). Strong restructuring of skin microbiota during captivity challenges ex-situ conservation of amphibians. *Frontiers in Microbiology*, 14, 1111018. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2023.1111018>
- Flach, E. J., Feltner, Y., Gower, D. J., Jayson, S., Michaels, C. J., Pocknell, A., ... Masters, N. (2020). Postmortem findings in eight species of captive caecilian (Amphibia: Gymnophiona) over a ten-year period. *Journal of Zoo and Wildlife Medicine*, 50(4), 879–890. <https://doi.org/10.1638/2019-0047>
- Frankham, R. (2008). Genetic adaptation to captivity in species conservation programs. *Molecular Ecology*, 17, 325–333. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-294X.2007.03399.x>
- Frankham, R. & Loebel, D. A. (1992). Modeling problems in conservation genetics using captive *Drosophila* populations: Rapid genetic adaptation to captivity. *Zoo Biology*, 11(5), 333–342. <https://doi.org/10.1002/zoo.1430110505>
- Frankham, R., Manning, H., Margan, S. H., & Briscoe, D. A. (2000). Does equalization of family sizes reduce genetic adaptation to captivity? *Animal Conservation*, 3(4), 357–363. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S1367943000001074>
- Gagliardo, R., Crump, P., Griffith, E., Mendelson, J., Ross, H., & Zippel, K. (2008). The principles of rapid response for amphibian conservation, using the programmes in Panama as an example. *International Zoo Yearbook*, 42, 125–135. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1748-1090.2008.00043.x>
- Garcia, G., Tapley, B., Marquis, O., Aparici Plaza, D., van der Meer, R., & Voorham, M. (2020). *Caudata regional collection plan for the EAZA Amphibian Taxon Advisory Group – Edition One*. Amsterdam.
- Garner, T. W. J., Garcia, G., Carroll, B. & Fisher, M. C. (2009). Using itraconazole to clear *Batrachochytrium dendrobatidis* infection, and subsequent depigmentation of *Alytes muletensis* tadpoles. *Diseases of Aquatic Organisms*, 83(3), 257–260. <https://doi.org/10.3354/dao02008>
- Gascon, C., Collins, J. P., Moore, R. D., Church, D. R., McKay, J. E., & Mendelson III, J. R. (2007). *Amphibian Conservation Action Plan*. IUCN/SSC Amphibian Specialist Group. Gland, Switzerland and Cambridge, UK. <https://portals.iucn.org/library/node/9071>
- Gawor, A., Rauhaus, A., Karbe, D., van der Straeten, K., Lötters, S., & Ziegler, T. (2012). Is there a chance for conservation breeding? Ex situ management, reproduction, and early life stages of the harlequin toad *Ateolopus flavescens* Duméril & Bibron, 1841 (Amphibia: Anura: Bufonidae). *Amphibian and Reptile Conservation*, 5(3), 29–44.
- Gower, R. A., Perl, A., Scheld, R. G. B., van der Straeten, S., Karbe, K., Pham, D., ... Ziegler, T. (2012). Larval development, stages and an international comparison of husbandry parameters of the Vietnamese mossy frog *Theloderma corticale* (Boulenger, 1903) (Anura: Rhacophoridae). *Asian Journal of Conservation Biology*, 1(2), 51–66.
- Graves, A. E., Dias, J. E. & Michaels, C. J. (2023). Effects of background color on stress-linked behavior in the Critically Endangered Lake Oku clawed frog (*Xenopus longipes*). *Journal of Zoological and Botanical Gardens*, 4(1), 99–107. <https://doi.org/10.3390/jzbg4010011>
- Grueber, C. E., Hogg, C. J., Ivy, J. A., & Belov, K. (2015). Impacts of early viability selection on management of inbreeding and genetic diversity in conservation. *Molecular Ecology*, 24(8), 1645–1653. <https://doi.org/10.1111/mec.13141>
- Gumbs, R., Gray, C. L., Wearn, O. R., & Owen, N. R. (2018). Tetrapods on the EDGE: Overcoming data limitations to identify phylogenetic conservation priorities. *PLoS ONE*, 13(4), e0194680. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0194680>
- Harding, G., Griffiths, R. A. & Pavajeau, L. (2016). Developments in amphibian captive breeding and reintroduction programs. *Conservation Biology*, 30(2), 340–349. <https://doi.org/10.1111/cobi.12612>
- Hernández Díaz, A. (2013). Crested toad reproduction at Africam Safari, Puebla, Mexico. *AARK Newsletter*, 23, 17.
- Higgins, J., Guerrel, J., Lassiter, E., Mooers, A., Palen, W. J., & Ibáñez, R. (2021). Observations on spindly leg syndrome in a captive population of *Andinobates geminisae*. *Zoo Biology*, 40(4), 330–341. <https://doi.org/10.1002/zoo.21598>
- Hoffmann, E. P., Williams, K., Hipsey, M. R., & Mitchell, N. J. (2021). Drying microclimates threaten persistence of natural and translocated populations of threatened frogs. *Biodiversity and Conservation*, 30, 15–34. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10531-020-02064-9>
- Holmes, A. M., Emmans, C. J., Jones, N., Coleman, R., Smith, T. E., & Hosie, C. A. (2016). Impact of tank background on the welfare of the African clawed frog, *Xenopus laevis* (Daudin). *Applied animal behaviour science*, 185, 131–136. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.applanim.2016.09.005>
- Holmes, A. M., Emmans, C. J., Coleman, R., Smith, T. E., & Hosie, C. A. (2018). Effects of transportation, transport medium and re-housing on *Xenopus laevis* (Daudin). *General and comparative endocrinology*, 266, 21–28. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ygcen.2018.03.015>

- Hudson, M. A., Young, R. P., Lopez, J., Martin, L., Fenton, C., McCrea, R., ... Cunningham, A. A. (2016). In-situ itraconazole treatment improves survival rate during an amphibian chytridiomycosis epidemic. *Biological Conservation*, 195, 37–45. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biocon.2015.12.041>
- Isaac, N., Redding, D. W., Meredith, H. M., & Safi, K. (2012). Phylogenetically-informed priorities for amphibian conservation. *PLoS ONE*, 7(8), e43912. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0043912>
- IUCN/SSC. (2002). *IUCN technical guidelines on the management of ex-situ populations for conservation*. Gland, Switzerland. <https://portals.iucn.org/library/node/12674>
- Jameson, T., Tapley, B., Barbon, A., Goetz, M., Harding, L., López, J., ... Garcia, G. (2019). *Best practice guidelines for the mountain chicken* (*Leptodactylus fallax*). European Association of Zoos and Aquaria, Amsterdam, The Netherlands. <https://www.eaza.net/assets/Uploads/CCC/BPG-2019/2019-Mountain-chicken-frog-EAZA-Best-Practice-Guidelines-Approved.pdf>
- Jayson, S., Ferguson, A., Goetz, M., Routh, A., Tapley, B., Harding, L., ... Dawson, J. (2018). Comparison of the nutritional content of the captive and wild diets of the critically endangered mountain chicken frog (*Leptodactylus fallax*) to improve its captive husbandry. *Zoo Biology*, 37(5), 332–346. <https://doi.org/10.1002/zoo.21442>
- Jayson, S., Harding, L., Michaels, C. J., Tapley, B., Hedley, J., Goetz, M., ... Flach, E. (2018). Development of a body condition score for the mountain chicken frog (*Leptodactylus fallax*). *Zoo Biology*, 37(3), 196–205. <https://doi.org/10.1002/zoo.21409>
- Johnson, K., Baker, A., Buley, K., Carrillo, L., Gibson, R., Gillespie, G. R., ... Zippel, K. (2018). A process for assessing and prioritizing species conservation needs: going beyond the Red List. *Oryx*, 54(1), 125–132. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0030605317001715>
- Kacoliris, F., Velasco, M., Arellano, M., Martínez-Aguirre, T., Zarini, O., Calvo, R., ... Williams, J. (2018). *Plan de acción para la conservación de la ranita del Valcheta* (*Pleurodema somuncurens*), *Meseta de Somuncura*, Río Negro. Facultad de Ciencias Naturales y Museo. La Plata, Argentina.
- Kelleher, S. R., Silla, A. J. & Byrne, P. G. (2018). Animal personality and behavioral syndromes in amphibians: a review of the evidence, experimental approaches, and implications for conservation. *Behavioral Ecology and Sociobiology*, 72(5), 79. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00265-018-2493-7>
- Keogh, L. M., Silla, A. J., McFadden, M. S. and Byrne, P. G. (2018). Dose and life stage-dependent effects of dietary beta-carotene supplementation on the growth and development of the Booroolong frog. *Conservation Physiology*, 6, coy052. <https://doi.org/10.1093/conphys/coy052>
- Kissel, A. M., Palen, W. J., Govindarajulu, P., & Bishop, C. A. (2014). Quantifying ecological life-support: the biological efficacy of alternative supplementation strategies for imperiled amphibian populations. *Conservation Letters*, 7(5), 441–450. <https://doi.org/10.1111/conl.12093>
- Kraaijeveld-Smit, F. J. L., Griffiths, R. A., Moore, R. D., & Beebe, T. J. C. (2006). Captive breeding and the fitness of reintroduced species: A test of the responses to predators in a threatened amphibian. *Journal of Applied Ecology*, 43(2), 360–365. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2664.2006.01137.x>
- Lassiter, E., Garces, O., Higgins, K., Baitchman, E., Evans, M., Guerrel, J., ... Gratwicke, B. (2020). Spindly leg syndrome in *Atelopus varius* is linked to environmental calcium and phosphate availability. *PLoS ONE*, 15(6), e0235285. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0235285>
- Lewis, C. H. R., Richards-Zawacki, C. L., Ibáñez, R., Luedtke, J., Voyles, J., Houser, P., & Gratwicke, B. (2019). Conserving Panamanian harlequin frogs by integrating captive-breeding and research programs. *Biological Conservation*, 236, 180–187. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biocon.2019.05.029>
- Mannings, E., Servini, F., Tapley, B. & Michaels, C. J. (2023). Activity budgets, responses to disturbance and novel behaviours in captive mountain chicken frogs *Leptodactylus fallax*. *Herpetological Journal*, 33, 43–54. <https://doi.org/10.33256/33.2.4354>
- Margan, S. H., Nurthen, R. K., Montgomery, M. E., Woodworth, L. M., Lowe, E. H., Briscoe, D. A., & Frankham, R. (1998). Single large or several small? Population fragmentation in the captive management of endangered species. *Zoo Biology*, 17(6), 467–480. [https://doi.org/10.1002/\(sici\)1098-2361\(1998\)17:6<467::aid-zoo1>3.0.co;2-3](https://doi.org/10.1002/(sici)1098-2361(1998)17:6<467::aid-zoo1>3.0.co;2-3)
- Martel, A., Van Rooij, P., Vercauteren, G., Baert, K., Van Waeyenbergh, L., Debacker, P., ... Pasmans, F. (2011). Developing a safe antifungal treatment protocol to eliminate *Batrachochytrium dendrobatidis* from amphibians. *Medical Mycology*, 49(2), 143–149. <https://doi.org/10.3109/13693786.2010.508185>
- Martínez Aguirre, T., Calvo, R., Velasco, M. A., Arellano, M. L., Zarini, O., & Kacoliris, F. P. (2019). Re-establishment of an extinct local population of the Valcheta frog, *Pleurodema somuncurens*, in a restored habitat in Patagonia, Argentina. *Conservation Evidence*, 16, 48–50.
- McFadden, M., Gilbert, D. J., Bradfield, K. S., Evans, M., Marantelli, G., & Byrne, P. G. (2018). The role of ex situ amphibian conservation in Australia. In H. Heatwole & J. J. L. Rowley (Eds.), *Status of conservation and decline of amphibians; Australia, New Zealand, and Pacific Islands* (pp. 298–310). Melbourne: CSIRO Publishing.
- McInerney, E. P., Silla, A. J. & Byrne, P. G. (2019). Effect of carotenoid class and dose on the larval growth and development of the critically endangered southern corroboree frog. *Conservation Physiology*, 7(1), coy009. <https://doi.org/10.1093/conphys/coy009>
- Mendelson III, J. R. (2018). Frogs in glass boxes: Responses of zoos to global amphibian extinctions. In: B. A. Minteer, J. Maienschein & J. P. Collins (Eds.), *The ark and beyond: The evolution of zoo and aquarium conservation* (pp. 298–310). Chicago, USA: University of Chicago Press. <https://doi.org/10.7208/chicago/9780226538631.003.0025>
- Michaels, C. J. (2022). Effects of aquatic and terrestrial habitats on the skin microbiome and growth rate of juvenile alpine newts *Ichthyosaura alpestris*. *Herpetological Journal*, 32(2), 51–58. <https://doi.org/10.33256/32.2.5158>
- Michaels, C. J. & Preziosi, R. F. (2020). Clinical and naturalistic substrates differ in bacterial communities and in their effects on skin microbiota in captive fire salamanders (*Salamandra salamandra*). *Herpetological Bulletin*, 151, 10–16. <https://doi.org/10.33256/hb151.1016>
- Michaels, C. J., Antwis, R. E. & Preziosi, R. F. (2014). Impact of plant cover on fitness and behavioural traits of captive red-eyed tree frogs (*Agalychnis callidryas*). *PLoS ONE*, 9(4), 21–23. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0095207>
- Michaels, C. J., Antwis, R. E. & Preziosi, R. F. (2015). Impacts of UVB provision and dietary calcium content on serum vitamin D3, growth rates, skeletal structure and coloration in captive oriental fire-bellied toads (*Bombina orientalis*). *Journal of Animal Physiology and Animal Nutrition*, 99(2), 391–403. <https://doi.org/10.1111/jpn.12203>
- Michaels, C. J., Downie, J. R. & Campbell-Palmer, R. (2014). The importance of enrichment for advancing amphibian welfare and conservation goals: A review of a neglected topic. *Amphibian and Reptile Conservation*, 8(1), 7–23.
- Michaels, C. J. & Preziosi, R. F. (2015). Fitness effects of shelter provision for captive amphibian tadpoles. *Herpetological Journal*, 25, 7–12.
- Michaels, C. J. & Preziosi, R. F. (2020). Clinical and naturalistic substrates differ in bacterial communities and in their effects on skin microbiota in captive fire salamanders (*Salamandra salamandra*). *Herpetological Bulletin*, 151, 10–16. <https://doi.org/10.33256/hb151.1016>
- Michaels, C. J., Rendle, M., Gibault, C., Lopez, J., Garcia, G., Perkins, M. W., ... Tapley, B. (2018). *Batrachochytrium dendrobatidis* infection and treatment in the salamanders *Ambystoma andersoni*, *A. dumerilii* and *A. mexicanum*. *Herpetological Journal*, 28(2), 87–92.
- Michaels, C. J., Servini, C., Ferguson, A., Guthrie, A., Jayson, S., Newton-Youens, J., ... Tapley, B. (2021). The effects of two calcium supplementation regimens on growth and health traits of juvenile mountain chicken frogs (*Leptodactylus fallax*). *Herpetological Journal*, 31(1), 18–26. <https://doi.org/10.33256/31.1.1826>
- Michaels, C. J., Tapley, B., Harding, L., Bryant, Z., & Grant, S. (2015). Breeding and rearing the critically endangered Lake Oku clawed frog (*Xenopus longipes* Loumont and Kobel 1991). *Amphibian & Reptile Conservation*, 9(2), 100–110.
- Miller, D. L., Rajeev, S., Brookins, M., Cook, J., Whittington, L., & Baldwin, C. A. (2008). Concurrent infection with Ranavirus, *Batrachochytrium dendrobatidis*, and *Aeromonas* in a captive anuran colony. *Journal of Zoo and Wildlife Medicine*, 39(3), 445–449. <https://doi.org/10.1638/2008-0012.1>
- Moore, R. D. & Church, D. R. (2008). Implementing the Amphibian Conservation Action Plan. *International Zoo Yearbook*, 42(1), 15–23. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1748-1090.2007.00041.x>
- Murphy, J. B. & Gratwicke, B. (2017). History of captive management and conservation amphibian programs mostly in zoos and aquariums. Part II

- Salamanders and Caecilians. *Herpetological Review*, 48(2), 474–486.
- Narayan, E. & Hero, J.-M. (2011). Urinary corticosterone responses and haematological stress indicators in the endangered Fijian ground frog (*Platymantis vitiana*) during transportation and captivity. *Australian Journal of Zoology*, 59, 79–85. <https://doi.org/10.1071/ZO11030>
- Narayan, E. J., Hero, J. M., & Cockrem, J. F. (2012). Inverse urinary corticosterone and testosterone metabolite responses to different durations of restraint in the cane toad (*Rhinella marina*). *General and Comparative Endocrinology*, 179(3), 345–349. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ygcen.2012.09.017>
- Newton-Youens, J., Michaels, C. J., & Preziosi, R. (2022). Keeping the golden mantella golden: The effect of dietary carotenoid supplementation and UV provision on the colouration and growth of *Mantella aurantiaca*. *Journal of Zoo and Aquarium Research*, 10, 74–81. <https://doi.org/10.19227/jzar.v10i2.598>
- Nicolson, D., Tapley, B., Jayson, S., Dale, J., Harding, L., Spencer, J., ... Cunningham, A. (2017). Development of in-country live food production for amphibian conservation: the mountain chicken frog (*Leptodactylus fallax*) on Dominica, West Indies. *Amphibian and Reptile Conservation*, 11(2), 59–68 (e149).
- Ogilvy, V. & Preziosi, R. F. (2012). Can carotenoids mediate the potentially harmful effects of ultraviolet light in *Silurana (Xenopus) tropicalis* larvae? *Journal of Animal Physiology and Animal Nutrition*, 96(4), 693–699. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1439-0396.2011.01197.x>
- Ogilvy, V., Preziosi, R. F. & Fidgett, A. L. (2012). A brighter future for frogs? The influence of carotenoids on the health, development and reproductive success of the red-eye tree frog. *Animal Conservation*, 15(5), 480–488. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1469-1795.2012.00536.x>
- Pasmans, F., Janssens, G. P. J., Sparreboom, M., Jiang, J., & Nishikawa, K. (2012). Reproduction, development, and growth response to captive diets in the Shangcheng stout salamander, *Pachyhynobius shangchengensis* (Amphibia, Urodela, Hynobiidae). *Asian Herpetological Research*, 3(3), 192–197. <https://doi.org/10.3724/SP.J.1245.2012.00192>
- Passos, L., Garcia, G. & Young, R. (2021). Do captive golden mantella frogs recognise wild conspecifics calls? Responses to the playback of captive and wild calls. *Journal of Zoo and Aquarium Research*, 9(1), 49–54.
- Passos, L. F., Garcia, G. & Young, R. J. (2018). Comparing the bacterial communities of wild and captive golden mantella frogs: Implications for amphibian conservation. *PLoS ONE*, 13(10), e0205652. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0205652>
- Passos, L. F., Garcia, G. & Young, R. J. (2017). Neglecting the call of the wild: Captive frogs like the sound of their own voice. *PLoS ONE*, 12(7), e0181931. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0181931>
- Pessier, A. P. & Mendelson III, J. R. (2017). *A manual for control of infectious diseases in amphibian survival assurance colonies and reintroduction programs*. Ver. 2.0. IUCN/SSC Conservation Breeding Specialist Group: Apple Valley, MN.
- Pessier, A. P., Baichtman, E. J., Crump, P., Wilson, B., Griffith, E., & Ross, H. (2014). Causes of mortality in anuran amphibians from an ex situ survival assurance colony in Panama. *Zoo Biology*, 33(6), 516–526. <https://doi.org/10.1002/zoo.21166>
- Poole, V. A. & Grow, S. (2012). *Amphibian husbandry resource guide*. Association of Zoos and Aquariums. https://azk.org.au/wp-content/uploads/2019/03/amphibian_husbandry_resource_guide_1.0-1.pdf
- Preininger, D., Weissenbacher, A., Wampula, T., & Hödl, W. (2012). The conservation breeding of two foot-flagging frog species from Borneo, *Staurois parvus* and *Staurois guttatus*. *Amphibian and Reptile Conservation*, 5(3), 45–56 (e51).
- Ramos, J. & Ortiz-Díez, G. (2021). Evaluation of environmental enrichment for *Xenopus laevis* using a preference test. *Laboratory Animals*, 55, 428–434. <https://doi.org/10.1177/00236772211011290>
- Rendle, M. E., Tapley, B., Perkins, M., Bittencourt-Silva, G., Gower, D. J., & Wilkinson, M. (2015). Itraconazole treatment of *Batrachochytrium dendrobatidis* (Bd) infection in captive caecilians (Amphibia: Gymnophiona) and the first case of Bd in a wild neotropical caecilian. *Journal of Zoo and Aquarium Research*, 3(4), 137–140. <https://doi.org/10.19227/jzar.v3i4.112>
- Rijks, J. M., Saucedo, B., Brunner, J. L., Hick, P., Lesbarrères, D., Duffus, A., ... Marschang, R.E. (2018). Report on the 4th International Symposium on Ranaviruses 2017. *Journal of Herpetological Medicine and Surgery*, 28(1), 13–18. <https://doi.org/10.5818/17-10-131.1>
- Rodríguez, C. E. & Pessier, A. P. (2014). Pathologic changes associated with suspected hypovitaminosis A in amphibians under managed care. *Zoo Biology*, 33(6), 508–515. <https://doi.org/10.1002/zoo.21161>
- Rosa, G. M., Cadle, J. E., Crottini, A., Dawson, J., Edmonds, D., Fisher, M. C., Garcia, G., Glaw, F., Glos, J., Harris, R. N., Köhler, J., Rabemananjara, F., Rabesihanaka, S., Rabibisoa, N., Randrianantoandro, J. C., Raselimanana, A. P., Raxworthy, C. J., Razafindraibe, H., Vallan, D., ... Andreone, F. (2015). *ACSAM2, A conservation strategy for the Amphibians of Madagascar 2*. Abstract book. Torino, Italy: Museo Regionale di Scienze Naturali, Regione Piemonte.
- Scheele, B. C., Hunter, D. A., Grogan, L. F., Berger, L., Kolby, J. E., McFadden, M. S., ... Driscoll, D. A. (2014). Interventions for reducing extinction risk in chytridiomycosis-threatened amphibians. *Conservation Biology*, 28(5), 1195–1205. <https://doi.org/10.1111/cobi.12322>
- Shaw, S. D., Bishop, P. J., Harvey, C., Berger, L., Skerratt, L. F., Callon, K., ... Speare, R. (2012). Fluorosis as a probable factor in metabolic bone disease in captive New Zealand native frogs (*Leiopelma* species). *Journal of Zoo and Wildlife Medicine*, 43(3), 549–565. <https://doi.org/10.1638/2011-0276R1.1>
- Silla, A. J., McInerney, E. P. & Byrne, P. G. (2016). Dietary carotenoid supplementation improves the escape performance of the southern corroboree frog. *Animal Behaviour*, 112, 213–220. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.anbehav.2015.12.012>
- Slight, D. J., Nichols, H. J. & Arbuckle, K. (2015). Are mixed diets beneficial for the welfare of captive axolotls (*Ambystoma mexicanum*)? Effects of feeding regimes on growth and behavior. *Journal of Veterinary Behavior*, 10(2), 185–190. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jveb.2014.09.004>
- Stückler, S., Cloer, S., Hödl, W., & Preininger, D. (2022). Carotenoid intake during early life mediates ontogenetic colour shifts and dynamic colour change during adulthood. *Animal Behaviour*, 187, 121–135. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.anbehav.2022.03.007>
- Tapley, B., Bradfield, K. S., Michaels, C., & Bungard, M. (2015). Amphibians and conservation breeding programmes: do all threatened amphibians belong on the ark? *Biodiversity and Conservation*, 24(11), 2625–2646. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10531-015-0966-9>
- Tapley B., Bryant, Z., Grant, S., Kother, G., Feltzer, Y., Masters, N., ... Gower, D. (2014). Towards evidence-based husbandry for caecilian amphibians: substrate preference in *Geotrypetes seraphini* (Amphibia: Gymnophiona: Dermophiidae). *Herpetological Bulletin*, 129, 15–18.
- Tapley, B., Harding, L., Sulton, M., Durand, S., Burton, M., Spencer, J., ... Cunningham, A.A. (2014). An overview of current efforts to conserve the critically endangered mountain chicken (*Leptodactylus fallax*) on Dominica. *Herpetological Bulletin*, 128, 9–11.
- Tapley, B., Michaels, C., Harding, L., Bryant, Z., Gill, I., Chaney, N., ... Bone, T.D. (2016). *Amphibian Taxon Advisory Group best practice guidelines for the Lake Oku frog Xenopus longipes*. 1–30. European Association of Zoos and Aquaria. <https://www.eaza.net/assets/Uploads/CCC/2016-Lake-Oku-frog-EAZA-Best-Practice-Guidelines-Approved.pdf>
- Tapley, B., Michaels, C. J., Gower, D. J., & Wilkinson, M. (2020). The use of visible implant elastomer to permanently identify caecilians (Amphibia: Gymnophiona). *Herpetological Bulletin*, 150, 18–22. <https://doi.org/10.33256/hb150.1822>
- Tapley, B., Michaels, C. J., Gumbs, R., Böhm, M., Luedtke, J., Pearce-Kelly, P., & Rowley, J. J. L. (2018). The disparity between species description and conservation assessment: A case study in taxa with high rates of species discovery. *Biological Conservation*, 220, 209–214. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biocon.2018.01.022>
- Tapley, B., Rendle, M., Baines, F. M., Goetz, M., Bradfield, K. S., Rood, D., ... Routh, A. (2014). Meeting ultraviolet B radiation requirements of amphibians in captivity: A case study with mountain chicken frogs (*Leptodactylus fallax*) and general recommendations for pre-release health screening. *Zoo Biology*, 34(1), 46–52. <https://doi.org/10.1002/zoo.21170>
- Ujszegi, J., Molnár, K. & Hettyey, A. (2021). How to disinfect anuran eggs? Sensitivity of anuran embryos to chemicals widely used for the disinfection of larval and post-metamorphic amphibians. *Journal of Applied Toxicology*, 41, 387–398. <https://doi.org/10.1002/jat.4050>
- Vaira, M., Akmentins, M. S. & Lavilla, E. O. (2018). Plan de acción para la conservación de los anfibios de la República Argentina. *Cuadernos de Herpetología*, 32(supl. 1), 56. [https://doi.org/10.31017/CdH.2018.\(2018-s1\)](https://doi.org/10.31017/CdH.2018.(2018-s1))

- Velasco, M. (2018). *Dinámica poblacional y conservación de la ranita del Valcheta (Pleurodema somuncurense) (Ceí, 1969), Patagonia, Argentina*. [PhD Thesis], Universidad Nacional de La Plata, La Plata, Buenos Aires.
- Venesky, M. D., Mendelson III, J. R., Sears, B. F., Stilling, P., & Rohr, J.R. (2012). Selecting for tolerance against pathogens and herbivores to enhance success of reintroduction and translocation. *Conservation Biology*, 26(4), 586–592. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1523-1739.2012.01854.x>
- Verschooren, E., Brown, R. K., Vercammen, F., & Pereboom, J. (2011). Ultraviolet B radiation (UV-B) and the growth and skeletal development of the Amazonian milk frog (*Trachycephalus resinifictrix*) from metamorphosis. *Journal of Physiology and Pathophysiology*, 2, 34–42.
- Walker, S. F., Bosch, J., James, T. Y., Litvintseva, A. P., Oliver Valls, J. A., Piña, S., ... Fisher, M. C. (2008). Invasive pathogens threaten species recovery programs. *Current Biology*, 18(18), 853–854. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cub.2008.07.033>
- Whatley, C., Tapley, B., Chang, Y.-M. R., Newton-Yowens, J., Mckendry, D., & Michaels, C. (2020). Impacts of UVB provision on serum vitamin D3, pigmentation, growth rates and total body mineral content in Mallorcan midwife toad larvae (*Alytes muletensis*). *Journal of Zoo and Aquarium Research*, 8(1), 37–44.
- Whatley, C., Tapley, B. & Michaels, C. J. (2020). Substrate preference in the fossorial caecilian *Microcaecilia unicolor* (Amphibia: Gymnophiona, Siphonopidae). *Herpetological Bulletin*, 152, 18–20. <https://doi.org/10.33256/152.1820>
- Williams, S. E. & Hoffman, E. A. (2009). Minimizing genetic adaptation in captive breeding programs: A review. *Biological Conservation*, 142(11), 2388–2400. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biocon.2009.05.034>
- Woodhams, D. C., Bosch, J., Briggs, C. J., Cashins, S., Davis, L. R., Lauer, A., ... Voyles, J. (2011). Mitigating amphibian disease: strategies to maintain wild populations and control chytridiomycosis. *Frontiers in Zoology*, 8, 8. <https://doi.org/10.1186/1742-9994-8-8>
- Ziegler, T. (2015). In situ and ex situ reptile projects of the Cologne Zoo: Implications for research and conservation of South East Asia's herpetodiversity. *International Zoo Yearbook*, 49(1), 8–21. <https://doi.org/10.1111/izy.12084>
- Zippel, K., Johnson, K., Gagliardo, R., Gibson, R., McFadden, M., Browne, R., ... Townsend, E. (2011). The Amphibian Ark: A global community for ex situ conservation of amphibians. *Herpetological Conservation and Biology*, 6(3), 340–352.





An amphibian rescue pod housing Critically Endangered *Atelopus varius* at the Panama Amphibian Rescue and Conservation Project on the grounds of the Smithsonian Tropical Research Institute in Gamboa Panama. © Brian Gratwicke



**INTERNATIONAL UNION
FOR CONSERVATION OF NATURE**

WORLD HEADQUARTERS
Rue Mauverney 28
1196 Gland, Switzerland
mail@iucn.org
Tel +41 22 999 0000
Fax +41 22 999 0002
www.iucn.org
www.iucn.org/resources/publications

